## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS

Criminal Action No. 04-176

FREDERICK H. BANKS,

Defendant.

Transcript of proceedings held on Wednesday, November 20, 2013, United States District Court, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, before the Honorable Joy Flowers Conti, U.S. District Court Chief Judge.

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: ROBERT CESSAR, Esq.

For the Defendant:

FREDERICK H. BANKS, pro se PATRICK NIGHTINGALE, Esq.

Court Reporter:

Shirley Ann Hall, RDR, CRR 6260 U.S. Courthouse Pittsburgh, PA 15219

(412) 765-0408

Proceedings recorded by digital stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

## PROCEEDINGS

2

1

\* \* \* \* \*

3

(In open court.)

4

THE COURT: Good morning, please be seated.

5 6

of conditions of supervised release. It's in the criminal

This is a continuation of a hearing on a violation

7

matter United States versus Frederick H. Banks, Criminal No.

8

04-176.

9

Would counsel please re-enter your appearance.

10

MR. CESSAR: For the United States, Robert Cessar.

11

DEFENDANT BANKS: Frederick Banks.

12

MR. NIGHTINGALE: Your Honor, Patrick Nightingale as

13

either standby counsel or counsel depending on the outcome of

14

the competency hearing.

THE COURT: Presently you're here as counsel.

15

16 The Court at the conclusion of the prior date for

17

this hearing had determined that there was a need for a

18

psychiatric evaluation to assess the Defendant's ability to

19

represent himself since he was requesting that he be able to

20

represent himself. The Court has received the report of the

21

psychiatrist which, in summary, opines that he is competent to

22

represent himself under these circumstances in this particular

23

24

So at this stage have all parties had an opportunity

25

to review that report?

situation.

MR. NIGHTINGALE: Your Honor, I've reviewed the conclusions of the report with Mr. Banks but have not provided him with a copy of it for his entire review since we got it yesterday afternoon.

THE COURT: Do you need to review it?

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes, and I would like a copy of everything I filed in this case.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, a copy of what?

DEFENDANT BANKS: Every motion that I put in in the case because I don't have copies in the prison, and the prosecutor has that information but he doesn't have a copy. He only has one.

THE COURT: I don't know what you're talking about.

MR. CESSAR: Maybe I can clarify. Mr. Banks — before the hearing began, Mr. Nightingale indicated to me that Mr. Banks did not have copies of what he filed. In preparation of the hearing — I only have one copy, but I told Mr. Nightingale I would get copies made and get them to Mr. Banks. It's not going to happen today because it's — you know —

THE COURT: Many of them have been denied because — he had counsel at the time, so he's going to have to re-submit some of them. I think there's only two pending motions at this stage.

MR. CESSAR: So to the extent I'm able to at some

point make copies for him, I will. If he needs to look at 1 them now in court, I have them available for him to look at in 2 court. I'm trying to be courteous, Your Honor, so there's no 3 4 issue on appeal. 5 DEFENDANT BANKS: I need to look at them in court and hopefully get copies. I sent a letter and never got 6 7 copies. 8 THE COURT: Sent a letter to who? 9 MR. NIGHTINGALE: He sent me a letter saying I want 10 copies of these in court. As counsel, I reviewed the exhibits 11 and I didn't bring them because it was voice-to-skull Internet printouts and pictures that he drew, and I didn't think it was 12 13 relevant for the proceeding. 14 DEFENDANT BANKS: That's not what I asked, 15 Your Honor. I asked for everything I filed in court --16 THE COURT: It's been resolved; Mr. Cessar said he's 17 going to make copies of those for you. 18 DEFENDANT BANKS: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Okay? All right. Do you need a moment 20 to review this psychiatric report? 21 DEFENDANT BANKS: I don't believe I do because 22 you're saying that I can represent myself, correct? 23 THE COURT: Well, I have more questions to ask you. 24 But this report says in terms of the evaluation that --

MR. CESSAR: Page 8, Your Honor, at the bottom.

THE COURT: I'll get to that — it says: Based upon the available information, it's my psychiatric opinion with reasonable psychiatric certainty that the Defendant is suffering from a mental disease in the form of a psychotic disorder not otherwise specified or chronic paranoid schizophrenia. Either disorder is a major mental disorder of psychotic proportions. However, it is further my opinion that the disease does not render him unable to waive his right to counsel and proceed pro se in this matter. He has intact cognitive functioning in many respects, especially outside of the area of the electronic harassment. And it goes on.

So essentially the opinion is that you are competent to waive your right to counsel and to proceed pro se.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. I don't agree with the report, Your Honor. The last three doctors I seen all gave me a clean bill of health. There's two doctors I seen, this guy and a woman in prison, who said there was something wrong with me.

There's nothing wrong with me. He has an exhibit that I sent to the Court, same thing like in the Iraq war. You had the US military, the army — I don't know if you remember this; it was called Desert Storm.

THE COURT: Here at this stage you have noted that you don't agree with all of the conclusions here in terms of the diagnoses that were being reported by Dr. Wettstein.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Dr. Wettstein is present here today. Do you wish to question him or does the Government wish to question him?

MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, the Government had not anticipated questioning him and we would rely upon the statements in the report. For the record, we would request that the report be admitted into evidence but placed under I guess that would be the proper procedure, not publicly available, so the court record is protected and all the parties present are protected.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Your Honor, I just want to put something on the record if I could.

> THE COURT: I'm sorry?

DEFENDANT BANKS: I just want to put something on the record if I could.

THE COURT: Sure.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Just like in the Iraq war, Desert Storm, when you had the army -- and this is one of my exhibits. This is what I'm saying he has and I don't have a copy right now; it's right in that pile. You have the army using a device where they -- they hit it and it hit the Iraqi troops, and we heard that all these Iraqi troops surrendered and we didn't lose one American life.

That device -- and I can't remember the name of it; it's in that report -- makes you feel disoriented. None of those -- nobody here is saying that those Iraqi soldiers were psychotic. That's the same feeling that I was complaining of in a separate civil matter that was brought into this criminal case. I said the US Government has this technology since the '70s, and I cited to the case <u>Sims versus CIA</u>. And there is a Supreme Court case on it, <u>CIA versus Sims</u>, on the MK Ultra.

What I said was that the feeling — I'm not psychotic. The US Government has this technology. The army has used it against Iraqi soldiers and it's codified in <u>CIA</u> versus Sims which is a Supreme Court case. That's my objection.

So the entire report, I think it's hogwash. There's nothing wrong with me. I've seen -- I've seen three -- I've seen five doctors; three of them said there's nothing wrong with me.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll note your objection.

I'm going to ask if Dr. Wettstein would please come forward to be sworn. I have a question to ask him.

MR. CESSAR: I was going to suggest the same thing. If the report is not admitted over the Defendant's examining objection, we need to have Dr. Wettstein.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Can I see my exhibits? I need them for this.

MR. CESSAR: How about please?

DEFENDANT BANKS: Please.

MR. CESSAR: Okay.

# 8 R. Wettstein - Direct 1 DEFENDANT BANKS: I appreciate it. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 4 ROBERT WETTSTEIN, a witness herein, having been 5 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 THE COURT: Thank you, Dr. Wettstein. Would you 8 please take the stand. 9 THE WITNESS: Sure. 10 MR. CESSAR: Do you want me to conduct the 11 examination or the Court? 12 THE COURT: You can start if you'd like. 13 BY MR. CESSAR: 14 Okay. Would you please state your name for the record? 15 Yes, Robert Wettstein, W-E-T-T-S-T-E-I-N. 16 And were you engaged by the Court to conduct an 17 examination of the mental competency of Mr. Frederick Banks to 18 waive counsel and represent himself in this proceeding? 19 Α I was. 20 And could you please briefly state your background, 21 education, experience, and what would qualify you as an expert 22 in the field of psychiatry.

A I'm a licensed physician in Pennsylvania. I have been a psychiatrist since 1981. I've been trained as a forensic psychiatrist and I've practiced clinical psychiatry and

23

24

# R. Wettstein - Direct

forensic psychiatry since that time. I've conducted enumerable examinations in criminal cases on behalf of Defendants, prosecutors and courts with regard to competency issues.

Q And have you done so multiple times in this court?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q And have you been accepted as an expert on those multiple occasions?

A Yes.

MR. CESSAR: I tender Dr. Wettstein as an expert in the matter of psychology and what he's going to testify in.

THE COURT: Psychiatry.

MR. CESSAR: Psychiatry.

THE COURT: Mr. Banks — excuse me, Mr. Nightingale? You're still his counsel.

MR. NIGHTINGALE: I have no questions and stipulate to Dr. Wettstein's qualifications as an expert in the field of psychiatry.

DEFENDANT BANKS: I object.

THE COURT: Okay. You may continue.

BY MR. CESSAR:

Q And, sir --

THE COURT: He's so recognized.

MR. CESSAR: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

## R. Wettstein - Direct

1 BY MR. CESSAR: And, sir, have you prepared a report based upon your 2 3 examination of Mr. Banks? 4 Α Yes, I have. 5 And do you have a copy of that report there, sir? 6 Α I have one in front of me. 7 Q Okay. 8 MR. CESSAR: I have a copy, but it's my only copy, marked as Government 1; I will tender at the end of the 9 10 examination, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. NIGHTINGALE: No objection. 13 BY MR. CESSAR: 14 Is that report dated November 17<sup>th</sup>, 2013? 15 Α Yes, it is. 16 And, in summary, what did you look at and what was your 17 methodology in the report? 18 The Court provided me with several documents relating 19 to the specific issue that we're dealing with today, and I 20 enumerated these on the first page of my report, and they 21 include a previous presentence investigation report, the 22 underlying conviction, some Federal Bureau of Prison 23 psychology data sheets which address clinical issues with regard to the Defendant. I looked at the transcript of a 24

hearing that was held in this courtroom on

October 25th regarding this matter, and I looked at some of the documents relating to the petition for the violation.

The Defendant had filed a previous civil complaint in the central — in the Middle District of Pennsylvania, and I looked at that document with the attachments from August 5<sup>th</sup>, 2013. And, finally, I interviewed the Defendant on November 15<sup>th</sup> here in this building.

Q And based upon your interview, your review of the documents, and your mental status examination, what were your conclusions as to the competency of Mr. Banks to waive counsel and represent himself pro se in this hearing?

A As the Court indicated briefly, I did conclude that the Defendant has a serious mental disorder, but that it does not render him unable to waive his right to counsel and proceed pro se even in the absence of current psychiatric treatment.

MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, I would — do you have any questions of this witness?

THE COURT: My only question I have -- first I think from counsel.

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. NIGHTINGALE:

- Q Dr. Wettstein, how long was your examination of
- 23 Mr. Banks at the US Marshal's office?
  - A Two and three-quarters hours.
- 25 Q And do you believe that that examination was long

enough to complete your purposes, to determine or evaluate Mr. Banks's competency to waive counsel?

A I do.

Q Now, it was your diagnoses that Mr. Banks suffered from paranoid schizophrenia or otherwise a major psychotic diagnoses. Mr. Banks disputes that. Can you tell this Court how you came to the conclusion that Mr. Banks suffers from a mental impairment?

A Yes, I can do that. The previous documents I referred to from the Federal Bureau of Prisons, the psychology evaluations and psychiatry evaluations indicated such a diagnosis in the first place. They had prescribed him antipsychotic medication in the first case of Risperdal, which he had apparently taken briefly and then discontinued taking. So there was a history going back to 2012 of such a diagnosis.

Q Did you have the opportunity to review any documents, any medical records indicating that, in fact, there was no mental health diagnoses as Mr. Banks claims?

A I did not see them.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection.

THE COURT: Mr. Banks, you're not able to represent yourself yet. After I make the determination about that, then you'll be able to represent yourself. At this stage if you want to meet with your attorney, you can do that. You can ask the Court's indulgence to have Mr. Nightingale speak with you

briefly if you'd like.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yeah. Let me speak with him, please.

THE WITNESS: So could I finish my response to the question?

THE COURT: Yes, and then Mr. Banks will be able to speak with his counsel.

THE WITNESS: I did not see any documents that said that the Defendant had no mental disorder.

(Off the record discussion.)

# BY MR. NIGHTINGALE:

- Q Hypothetically speaking, Doctor, had my client claimed that he had never been given a mental health diagnosis or found to be not suffering from a mental defect, did you see any medical records that would corroborate that?
- A No.
  - Q Do you -- it's your testimony that my client is in need of mental health treatment and medication, is that correct?
- 19 | A Yes.
  - Q Do you believe that his lack of medication or refusal to acknowledge his need for medication impairs his ability to represent himself and to effectively answer the Government's charges?
  - A Essentially, no, although the medication might be helpful to him in managing the stresses of such proceedings.

- Q So in the absence of that medication or with my client persisting that he does not have a mental health diagnosis, how can you tell this Court that he is able to proceed and represent himself and defend himself against these charges?
- A For several reasons. And if I can explain --
- Q Please do.

- A the area of the area in his mind of the illness is not directly related to the present proceedings and in other words and this is true in many individuals who have such a condition they can function in certain areas of their lives and have impairments in other areas of their lives. So there's certain preserved, intact areas of functioning that he has that aren't going to directly relate to the particular case here.
- Q Okay. So would it be fair to say that in the mind of an individual with a condition such as Mr. Banks, they can be very high functioning in one respect but also impaired because of their mental health disorder in another respect?
- A Yes, that is accurate.
- Q And it's your review of Mr. Banks's medical history, his filings and your interview of Mr. Banks that leads you to this conclusion; is this correct?
- A Yes.
- Q And is that conclusion within a fair degree of psychiatric certainty?

```
1
    Α
            Yes, it is.
 2
               DEFENDANT BANKS: If I may have a moment,
 3
     Your Honor?
               THE COURT: Yes.
 4
 5
               (Off the record discussion.)
 6
               MR. NIGHTINGALE: I have nothing further,
 7
    Your Honor.
                  Thank you.
 8
               THE COURT: Is there anything you've heard in court
    here today that would change your opinion?
 9
10
               THE WITNESS: No.
11
               THE COURT: Thank you.
12
               Anything further?
13
               MR. CESSAR: From the Government, no, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: You're going to move to admit the report
15
    under seal?
16
               MR. CESSAR: Yes, under seal.
17
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
18
               DEFENDANT BANKS: No objection, to the extent --
19
               THE COURT: It will be admitted.
20
               Thank you, Dr. Wettstein. You're excused.
21
               THE WITNESS: All right, thank you. Did you want me
22
    to wait and be present for some of the subsequent proceedings
23
    to determine if there's a problem?
24
               THE COURT: Yes, please stay.
25
               THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
```

1 MR. NIGHTINGALE: Your Honor, my client just advised me he would like me to ask Dr. Wettstein another question or a 3 series of questions. 4 THE COURT: All right. 5 MR. NIGHTINGALE: And if we could open the record 6 back up on that portion of the proceedings. 7 THE COURT: Sure. 8 (Off the record discussion.) 9 MR. NIGHTINGALE: Your Honor, my client has asked me 10 to inquire of Dr. Wettstein for him to summarize the contents 11 of a wired.com article that has been the subject of numerous 12 proceedings. I don't think it's relevant. To the extent that 13 I'm counsel of record, I will not ask that question because it's irrelevant to the issue of competency. 14 15 DEFENDANT BANKS: Your Honor, can I say something, 16 please? 17 THE COURT: No, not at this stage to the extent 18 you're going to be inquiring about some other kind of record 19 that the doctor -- that's not within his area of expertise. 20 MR. NIGHTINGALE: So that the record is complete, 21 one of the documents that Dr. Wettstein referenced was the 22 civil complaint filed by Mr. Banks. That civil complaint 23 includes a wired.com article allegedly involving 24 voice-to-skull technology and MK Ultra and voice of God weapon

or whatever Mr. Banks believes the Government is utilizing

against him. He wants me to ask Dr. Wettstein to summarize
that article and presumably follow up with questions about
voice-to-skull technology. I believe that that is irrelevant
and —
THE COURT: Dr. Wettstein, do you have any expertise
in voice-to-skull technology?
THE WITNESS: I do not.
THE COURT: He won't be able to answer those
questions.
MR. NIGHTINGALE: I have nothing further.
THE COURT: Okay.
Thank you. If you could please stay; thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
THE COURT: If you could please come forward.
(Defendant Banks and Mr. Nightingale come to
podium.)
THE COURT: I'm going to have you re-sworn,
Mr. Banks. Would you please raise your right hand.
* * * *
FREDERICK BANKS, the Defendant herein, having been
first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
THE COURT: Okay. I believe we left off when I was
questioning you with respect to determining your competency to
waive your right to counsel and to proceed to represent

yourself pro se. I had asked: Have you — are you now or have you recently been hospitalized or treated for narcotic addiction or mental illness of any kind other than what you've told us about, the time that you were in the Bureau of Prisons and they had diagnosed you with paranoid schizophrenia. And you had, I think you said, one time taken the medication. Other than that —

DEFENDANT BANKS: No.

THE COURT: — have you had any other treatment or hospitalization?

DEFENDANT BANKS: No. I took — the one time I took the medication as explained, I passed out, hit my head on the floor. They pulled me into the lieutenant's office. I was never treated and — you know, they prescribed me this medication that I didn't need. The one time I took it I collapsed. So, no, I never — I haven't had any treatment since.

THE COURT: Since then; and no treatment for narcotic addiction?

DEFENDANT BANKS: Well, I had the -- you know, had the -- not narcotics, but alcohol. I had the RDAP, Residential Drug Abuse Program, and I had the 40-hour residential program. I had the new residential program, and I think there was one more. But I had like three or four drug programs, the 500-hour you recommended, and I took that when I

was in Forest City, and I completed that.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. But you completed all those programs?

DEFENDANT BANKS: I completed them all. I didn't complete — I completed the — they called it the unit based portion of RDAP, which is the portion you complete in prison. Then I went to the halfway house and they sent me back, which you probably already know, so I didn't complete the — it's called TDAP, which is the second like part when you get to the halfway house; but I completed the 500 hours in the prison.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand what's happening today?

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you're — you are presently considering waiving your right to counsel and proceeding pro se for the proceedings with respect to the violation of conditions of supervised release which was imposed in connection — as part of the sentence in Criminal No. 04-176?

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court has had the benefit of the report from Dr. Wettstein.

Mr. Nightingale, Mr. Conway, do either of you have any doubt about the Defendant's competence to waive his right to counsel and to proceed pro se?

MR. CESSAR: Mr. Cessar or --

THE COURT: I'm sorry; Mr. Cessar.

MR. CESSAR: But — based upon the report, no. But based upon my personal observation, I still question; but I have to rely upon the report of the expert psychiatrist.

MR. NIGHTINGALE: We certainly defer to Dr. Wettstein's expert report. He's much more qualified than I am, though I would share in Mr. Cessar's opinion regarding personal observations and opinion.

DEFENDANT BANKS: May I make the — a statement myself, Your Honor? As you know, I represented myself in front of you during the criminal case.

THE COURT: Yes, you did.

DEFENDANT BANKS: And the Government sought to upward depart. You — and the motion was denied based on my representation of myself. In the first matter I had with the attorney, who I will not mention his name, in front of Judge Hardiman, I was guilty in 45 minutes. And in the case I represented myself in front of you, it took the jury three or four days to deliberate, plus I saved myself two years because you denied the motion to upward depart. I believe if I would have had counsel, I would have been doing an extra two years in prison.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court finds the Defendant competent to waive his right to counsel and proceed pro se

1 based upon his answers to the Court's questions and, most particularly, the report and the testimony of Dr. Wettstein. 3 Now, Mr. Banks, are you aware that you have a 4 constitutional right to be represented by an attorney at every 5 stage of the proceedings against you? 6 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Do you understand you do not have to 8 waive your right to an attorney or to proceed pro se? 9 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 10 THE COURT: In other words, do you understand that 11 you have a right to an attorney? 12 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you are unable 14 to afford an attorney, the Court, as it has, will appoint one 15 without cost to you? 16 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Knowing those rights, do you still wish 18 to proceed pro se in connection with these proceedings against 19 you? 20 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Have you ever studied law? 22 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 23 THE COURT: And how have you done that? 24 DEFENDANT BANKS: I took a correspondence course 25 when I was in prison, as to paralegal, and I took law courses

at Brigham Young University through correspondence, and been litigating for the past ten years helping inmates, many of which I helped them get out of prison.

One particular case, Jeffrey Alan Smith. The case is called <u>In re: Gary Warren</u>, disciplinary proceedings out of Texas. Based on the case that I filed for Jeffrey Alan Smith, he was disbarred because he lied to the Court. There is another case in which I helped a guy named Andrew Hanson depose a judge. So there's a lot of cases that I've — that I've helped people, and I — I believe that I'm the only one who can effectively represent myself.

THE COURT: Okay. But you do understand that you have not studied law in the same way an attorney who is licensed to practice law has had to do.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Well, I mean I've read one author, which is Scott Turow. I believe he wrote the book, and it's about his first year at Harvard. And I read the book. It took me a couple days, and I knew 99.9 percent of the stuff he was talking about in that, so I think I can represent myself effectively, better than an attorney could.

THE COURT: And the Court recognizes -- because you represented yourself in a criminal action before me, so I'm aware that you have represented yourself in a criminal action; correct?

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes.

1 And do you understand the violations of THE COURT: the conditions of supervised release with which you are 2 3 charged? 4 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes, but I'm a little bit confused 5 as to if we're proceeding on both petitions or not because 6 just some discussions I had with Mr. Nightingale downstairs, 7 there's two petitions. There's a petition and then there's a 8 supplemental petition. 9 THE COURT: Both. 10 DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand that? 12 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 13 14 15

THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand that you are charged with committing a federal, state, or local crime which under the guidelines would be a Grade B violation; and you're also charged with incurring new credit charges or opening additional lines of credit without the approval of the probation officer, which would be required unless you were in compliance with the installment payment schedule, and that is a Grade C violation.

Do you understand that?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEFENDANT BANKS: Right. But on the first petition, it's an allegation.

THE COURT: Well, that's all they are. These are charges.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes.

1.0

THE COURT: And do you understand that if the Court determines by a preponderance of the evidence — keep in mind this is not like the trial of the charged — the criminal charges, which is beyond a reasonable doubt. Because these are violations of the conditions of supervised release, the determination is made by the Court. It's not made by a jury and it's by a preponderance of the evidence. And that's what will happen when the Court proceeds to determine whether or not you violated the conditions of your supervised release.

You understand that.

DEFENDANT BANKS: I believe on the first petition that I have to be convicted for the Court to violate and --

THE COURT: The Court only needs to find probable cause that you violated that, and that finding is by a preponderance of the evidence.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. Well, I have two cases —
THE COURT: You understand what that is, the
standard of the law?

DEFENDANT BANKS: I have two cases that the US
Probation in this district filed in court, one in front of
Gary Lancaster and the other in front of Alan Bloch, where
they filed the petition after the guy was convicted; so I
would object on equal protection grounds because —

THE COURT: I'm not there yet. I'm telling you what

1 the standards are that are going to be applied. You can 2 object at the time of the hearing on the violations, but these 3 are the standards. 4 Do you agree, Mr. Cessar, that I've correctly set 5 forth what standards would be applicable? 6 MR. CESSAR: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Nightingale, do you have any 8 question about those standards? 9 MR. NIGHTINGALE: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Have I omitted anything? 11 MR. NIGHTINGALE: No, you've not. You've accurately 12 stated the law, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: And you understand, Mr. Banks, that the 14 maximum penalty -- if the Court determines that your 15 supervised release should be revoked and determines a term of imprisonment would be appropriate, that the maximum penalty 16 17 would be a term of imprisonment of not more than two years? 18 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes, I understand that. 19 THE COURT: Now, do you understand that the Court 20 can revoke the supervised release or could modify the 21 conditions of the supervised release or extend the period of 22 supervised release if violations are found? 23 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 24 THE COURT: And do you understand that there are 25 advisory sentencing guidelines that the Court will consider in

1 determining if the supervised release is to be revoked? What that -- the Court will consider those in determining the 2 3 sentence that could be imposed with respect to these 4 violations. 5 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 6 THE COURT: And now do you understand that if you 7 represent yourself, you're on your own? 8 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that I cannot tell you or advise you how to represent yourself in connection with 10 11 these proceedings? 12 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 13 THE COURT: And do you understand that the Federal 14 Rules of Evidence do not apply at this hearing? 15 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 16 THE COURT: The Court from time to time, however, 17 may consider them in determining how to proceed; but the 18 Court -- but there's more flexibility in connection with this 19 type of proceeding. Do you understand that? 20 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Are you familiar with the Rules of Criminal Procedure? 22 23 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 24 THE COURT: How are you familiar with them? 25 DEFENDANT BANKS: By studying it on my own.

THE COURT: On your own. Do you understand that those rules govern the way a criminal action is tried in court and that you would be bound by those and that they cannot be relaxed?

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Banks, as I advised you in the previous case, which is the underlying case here, I did advise you then and I'm advising you now that in my opinion a trained lawyer would defend you far better than you can defend yourself. I think it's unwise of you to represent yourself. You're not familiar with the law in the same way a trained lawyer is, you're not as familiar with court procedures as a trained lawyer would be, and you are not as familiar with the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure as a trained lawyer would be. I strongly urge you not to represent yourself.

Now, in light of the penalties you face, Mr. Banks, and in light of all the difficulties of representing yourself, do you still desire to represent yourself and to give up your right to be represented by a lawyer?

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes, Your Honor. And also I'd like to state on your previous question that you said that the Rules of Criminal Procedure are strict and cannot be modified. I do want to put on the record that I am an American Indian. You probably know this already. We spoke about this ten years ago when I was in front of you. And all rules, regulations,

1 statutes and constitutional provisions are construed liberally in an American Indian's favor, with ambiguous provisions 2 3 interpreted to their benefit. That is a US Supreme Court 4 case --5 THE COURT: I've already ruled on those matters in 6 other motions you filed previously. 7 Now, is your decision entirely voluntary? 8 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Has anyone forced you in any way to give 10 up your right to an attorney? 11 DEFENDANT BANKS: The only person whoever forced me 12 to give up a right to anything, if I have them, is 13 Mr. Livingston in a Hardiman case, who told me be quiet, don't 14 talk; but that was a different matter, that was the other 15 case. 16 In connection with this proceeding. THE COURT: 17 DEFENDANT BANKS: Not this, no. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Based upon the sworn testimony of 19 Mr. Banks, the Court finds that the Defendant is -- has 20 knowingly and voluntarily waived his right to counsel, and the 21 Court will therefore permit the Defendant to represent 22 himself. 23 Mr. Nightingale, you are now going to be assuming 24 the role as standby counsel.

MR. NIGHTINGALE: Yes, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Now we will go back to the hearing on the violation of the conditions of supervised release. Is the probation officer here today? MR. CESSAR: Yes. And I also have an agent from the Federal Bureau of Investigation who will testify. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Banks -- before you leave, would the probation officer please enter your appearance for the record? PROBATION OFFICER: Benjamin Orrison, United States probation officer. THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. Mr. Banks --DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes? THE COURT: Now, do you understand that, having been sworn, your answers to my questions are subject to the penalties of perjury or of making a false statement if you do not answer truthfully? DEFENDANT BANKS: Well, I'm not -- that's if I testify, right? I mean I'm just representing myself. I wouldn't --THE COURT: Right, if you testify. I'm going to ask you questions. DEFENDANT BANKS: Yeah, okay. THE COURT: Not in your capacity as a lawyer, but in your capacity as the Defendant.

1 DEFENDANT BANKS: If I testify, correct. 2 THE COURT: No, I am going to ask you questions and 3 you need to answer my questions in this hearing. 4 DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. But are you saying if I 5 testify or just --6 THE COURT: Answering my questions. 7 DEFENDANT BANKS: Period, okay. 8 THE COURT: Okay? 9 DEFENDANT BANKS: Uh-huh. 10 THE COURT: Do you understand that? 11 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 12 THE COURT: So if you -- if you don't tell the truth, if you make a false statement when I ask you a question 13 14 and you answer, not in your capacity as the lawyer, but in 15 your capacity as the Defendant, do you understand that you 16 could be subject to the penalties of perjury or of making a 17 false statement? 18 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes, uh-huh. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Now, Mr. Banks, the Court has 20 been advised that you violated the following conditions of your supervised release. The first condition is that the 21 22 Defendant shall not commit another federal, state or local 23 crime. 24 The Court has been advised that on October 1, 2013,

the Probation Office was notified that the Defendant allegedly

committed wire fraud and aggravated identity theft. On August 19, 2013, the Defendant allegedly opened an account at Gain Capital Group, LLC, which I'll refer to as Gain Capital, and attempted to deposit three automated clearinghouse deposits into that account. Gain Capital froze the account when they found — when it found that the Defendant — when it learned, I should say, that the Defendant was a convicted felon.

Do you understand that is the first violation with which you are charged?

DEFENDANT BANKS: I do understand that.

THE COURT: The second violation is — relates to the condition that the Defendant shall not incur new credit charges or open additional lines of credit without approval of the probation officer unless the Defendant is in compliance with the installment payment schedule.

The Court's been advised that on October — excuse me, on August 22, 2013, the Defendant allegedly opened a new account under his father's name, Freddie Banks. Seventy automated clearinghouse deposits were deposited into this account, and the Defendant allegedly began to request withdrawals in various amounts to various bank accounts. Gain Capital conducted an immediate review of these transactions and determined that the Social Security number used to open the account was that of a deceased person.

Defendant called a Gain Capital representative on the telephone during the review process to inquire about the status of the withdrawals. The telephone call was recorded.

During the review process conducted by Gain Capital, Defendant sent documents showing that he purportedly had over \$2 million in a local bank account. Additional documents showed a bank account in the name of the Defendant's company, Hexagon, LLC, in the amount of \$664,997.83.

Do you understand that based upon those allegations you're alleged to have violated the condition that you incurred — you opened additional lines of credit without the approval of the probation officer?

DEFENDANT BANKS: I do understand that.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, Mr. Banks, do you admit that you violated these conditions of your supervised release?

DEFENDANT BANKS: No, I don't admit that.

THE COURT: Okay.

At this time, Mr. Cessar, it's up to the Government to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the alleged violations occurred.

MR. CESSAR: Yes, Your Honor. And one matter I'd like to bring to the Court's attention, Mr. Banks sent me a letter dated November 13<sup>th</sup>, 2013. I did not respond. I don't think it's appropriate that I receive letters from Defendants, but I wanted to make the Court aware that I

1 received such a letter and I did not respond so there's no question of improper ex parte communication. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 Mr. Banks? 5 DEFENDANT BANKS: I believe the matter is related to 6 an unrelated matter that was concerning a vehicle that I saw 7 apart -- back in the FBI building on the South Side where I 8 live, a vehicle that you ordered them to return, a Ferrari 9 355. They never returned it. It's parked in the back lot; 10 you can see all the vehicles down at the South Side Works. 11 THE COURT: That is not for the purpose of the 12 hearing today. 13 DEFENDANT BANKS: That's what the letter was about 14 and that's why I brought it up. 15 THE COURT: That's not for today. 16 MR. CESSAR: Thank you. 17 DEFENDANT BANKS: They're saying they don't have it. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. CESSAR: At this time the Government calls 20 Special Agent Sean Langford. And, Your Honor, I have a tape 21 I'd like to play that I have set up here, so my technical 22 expertise may require fumbling a few minutes to make sure I 23 get it right.

\* \* \*

THE COURT:

24

l l	
1	SEAN LANGFORD, a witness herein, having been first
2	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	THE COURT: Thank you, please take the stand.
5	MR. CESSAR: Does the Court want me to conduct the
6	examination from the podium or from here?
7	THE COURT: It's easier for the court reporter if
8	you do so.
9	MR. CESSAR: Okay.
10	BY MR. CESSAR:
11	Q Would you please state your name for the record.
12	A My name is Sean Langford.
13	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
14	THE WITNESS: Sean Langford. L-A-N-G-F-O-R-D.
15	BY MR. CESSAR:
16	Q And by whom are you employed?
17	A Federal Bureau of Investigation.
18	Q And how long have you been so employed?
19	A Over nine years.
20	Q And what is your title with the FBI?
21	A Special Agent.
22	Q And what are your duties as a Special Agent?
23	A Investigate federal matters, specifically white collar,
24	complex white collar fraud matters.
25	MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, I have a series of exhibits

```
1
    for the Court that I've provided to Mr. Banks that I would
 2
    like to tender to the Court.
 3
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 4
    BY MR. CESSAR:
5
           Now, are you the agent assigned to this matter
6
    investigating Mr. Banks, in particular his interaction with a
7
    company called Gain Capital?
8
    Α
            Yes, I am.
9
           And have you engaged in various law enforcement methods
    including obtaining subpoenaed records, interviewing
10
11
    witnesses, and executing a search warrant?
12
    Α
            That's correct.
13
           And based -- did you also review public source
14
    information such as databases?
15
    Α
            I did.
16
            And have you been able to determine Mr. Banks's Social
17
    Security number and his date of birth?
18
    Α
            Yes, I have.
19
    0
           What are they?
               THE COURT: This will be under seal, the personal
20
21
    identifiers.
22
              MR. CESSAR: Okay.
23
               DEFENDANT BANKS: Can we -- I'm sorry, Your Honor,
24
    can I say something real quick?
25
               THE COURT: What's your objection?
```

```
1
               DEFENDANT BANKS: I just don't like the last four
2
    digits of my Social Security read.
 3
               THE COURT: That's what I'm saying, it would be
 4
    under seal.
 5
               DEFENDANT BANKS: This whole courtroom --
6
               THE COURT:
                           Just do the last four digits.
7
    BY MR. CESSAR:
8
    Q
            The last four digits, what are the last four digits?
            6411.
9
    Α
10
           And, again, maybe for the date of birth, what year was
11
    he born?
12
    Α
            1967.
13
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
14
    BY MR. CESSAR:
15
           And have you reviewed Allegheny County property
16
    records?
17
    Α
            Yes, I have.
18
           And have you reviewed property records relating to
19
    52 South 8th Street, Pittsburgh, PA?
20
    Α
            That's correct.
21
           And what do those provide or state?
22
            The property records, according to Allegheny County,
23
    shows 52 South 8th Street is owned by Freddie Banks,
24
    F-R-E-D-D-I-E, Banks.
25
           And who is Freddie Banks?
```

1	A Freddie Banks is Frederick Banks's deceased mother.
2	Q And have you been able to determine that she passed in
3	approximately December 18 <sup>th</sup> of 2006?
4	A Correct.
5	DEFENDANT BANKS: I'm going to object. Objection,
6	relevance.
7	THE COURT: There's been an objection on the basis
8	of relevancy.
9	MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, Mr. Banks opened an account
10	at Gain Capital
11	DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection.
12	MR. CESSAR: in the name of Freddie Banks. This
13	is going to the underlying theory that Freddie Banks was
14	deceased and therefore unable to open the account. Further,
15	we have a tape in which Mr. Banks identifies himself as
16	Freddie Banks
17	DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection.
18	MR. CESSAR: which we're going to play for the
19	Court, so I believe it's relevant.
20	THE COURT: The Court finds it's relevant.
21	Do you have any further objection?
22	DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes, I do object. The way he's
23	battering me this is an allegation, Your Honor, I said I
24	did this, I did that.
25	THE COURT: That was his proffer. That was a

1 proffer. DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay, all right. 3 THE COURT: That's what he said he is going to -the evidence is coming in through the witness and the 4 5 exhibits. 6 Thank you, you may proceed. 7 BY MR. CESSAR: 8 And have you inquired of postal inspection agents who 9 receives mail at that location? 10 I have, and Frederick Banks is the only individual known to receive mail at 52 South 8th Street, Pittsburgh PA, 11 12 15203. 13 DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection, that's hearsay. 14 THE COURT: Hearsay is admissible in this 15 proceeding. 16 DEFENDANT BANKS: I have a case that states it's 17 not. 18 It is; you're overruled. THE COURT: 19 DEFENDANT BANKS: I'd like to preserve that. 20 THE COURT: You can preserve it. The objection is 21 noted. 22 BY MR. CESSAR: 23 And have you reviewed the records of the Pennsylvania Q Bureau of Motor Vehicles for Mr. Banks and does he have an 24 25 operating license number?

```
I have, and he does have a current driver's license
 1
    Α
 2
     with operator license number.
 3
               DEFENDANT BANKS: Just the last four digits.
               THE WITNESS: The last four digits, 6844. It was
 4
     issued on or about December 5th of 2012.
 5
 6
    BY MR. CESSAR:
 7
            And does it reflect Mr. Banks's birth date?
            It does, as September 10th of 1967.
 8
    Α
 9
            And what location?
            It shows that he resides at 52 South 8th Street,
10
    Α
    Pittsburgh, PA, 15203.
11
12
    Q
            What is Gain Capital?
13
    Α
            Gain Capital is a online futures and foreign exchange
    trading house that is located in Bedminster, New Jersey.
14
15
            Could you spell Bedminster for the court reporter?
    0
16
    Α
            Bedminster, B-E-D-M-I-N-S-T-E-R.
17
            And did they use samething called Forex, F-O-R-E-X,
    Q
18
    dot-com?
19
    Α
            Yes.
20
               DEFENDANT BANKS:
                                 Objection.
21
               THE COURT: What's your objection?
22
               DEFENDANT BANKS:
                                 Hearsay.
23
               THE COURT: Overruled.
24
    BY MR. CESSAR:
25
    Q
            What is forex.com?
```

1 Α Forex.com holds itself out to be one of the largest investment brands in the online foreign exchange. 2 3 operate a Web site, forex.com, where people can -- individuals 4 or corporate entities can open accounts in order to effect 5 trades of futures and foreign exchange of assets. 6 Now, I would like you to look at Government Exhibit 1, 7 Do you have that before you? 8 Α I do. 9 I'd like to turn the Court's attention to that. 10 is that document, sir? 11 Α This is a secure online account application at 12 forex.com that was opened in the name of Mr. Frederick Banks. 13 Q And did you obtain this from Gain Capital? 14 Α I did. 15 And what is some of the information contained therein 16 such as the name, residence, et cetera? Could you go through 17 this application? 18 Yes, sir. The name, personal information provided via 19 the Internet to open this forex.com account was opened by 20 Mr. Frederick Banks. Country of residence listed the 21 United States. There is an e-mail address provided as 22 FredBanks123@ymail.com. There is a home phone number provided of area code (412)515-9670. This is an individual account as 23 24 opposed to a corporate account. The address listed for Mr. Frederick Banks was 52 South 8th Street, Pittsburgh, PA, 25

15203. It lists Frederick Banks as a US citizen with a Social Security number with the last four digits of 6411, and a date of birth of 9-10-1967. It also shows an employment status as a business professional, with employer's name of Hexagon Records.

DEFENDANT BANKS: I want to object, Your Honor.

THE COURT: On what basis?

DEFENDANT BANKS: On the basis we don't have a representative of Gain or Forex to even tell us this is one of their applications. I mean I have a right to confront that — that representative under the confrontation clause.

MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, that's not correct; and the witness has stated he obtained these pursuant to a subpoena and request from Gain Capital, so they are Gain Capital records, which are business records.

DEFENDANT BANKS: And can I see a copy of the subpoena? I would like to see it.

THE COURT: I have never received it.

MR. CESSAR: You are not required to receive a copy of the subpoena, sir. It's a matter before the Grand Jury right now.

THE COURT: It's overruled.

THE WITNESS: The position held by Mr. Frederick
Banks is listed as president of Hexagon Records, and the
business address for Hexagon Records is listed as Post Office

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Box 42303 in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 15203. BY MR. CESSAR: And there is an annual income of Mr. Banks? In the financial information of this application it lists that the annual income for Mr. Frederick Banks is over \$500,000, also having a net worth of over \$5 million. And trading experience in this matter? He lists stock and equity trading experience of over three years. And, sir, were you able to subpoena Sprint for telephone number (412)515-9670? Α Yes, sir. Do you see Grand Jury Exhibit 16 there before you? Α I do. Q What is that? THE COURT: Is this a Government exhibit? MR. CESSAR: A Government exhibit. THE WITNESS: This is Grand Jury subpoena production provided by Sprint pertaining to the cell phone number of (412)515-9670.BY MR. CESSAR: And according to Sprint, who does this number belong to? The billing information, account information lists effective May  $25^{\text{th}}$  of 2013 that the subscriber to the cell

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

phone is Frederick Banks, residing at 52 South 8th Street, Pittsburgh, PA, 15203. After the forex.com account was opened --DEFENDANT BANKS: I'm going to object. I object, Your Honor. THE COURT: What are you objecting to? DEFENDANT BANKS: That's not what it says. It says the account number subscribed to the person is Frederick Banks; this has a different phone number. THE COURT: I can't hear you. DEFENDANT BANKS: I'm sorry. It says -- he said that it says the account number subscribed to is Frederick Banks. But in that section, account details, it's a different phone number. It's not the 515; it's a 441 number. So that's not the number it's listed at. It's listed as the person who has this account saying it's me and the different phone number from the one he's saying. BY MR. CESSAR: Q Would you clarify this for the record, sir. DEFENDANT BANKS: Government Exhibit 16. THE COURT: You can ask him questions on cross examination. DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. THE COURT: Thank you.

1 BY MR. CESSAR: 2 Maybe the way to clarify this is did you subpoena 3 Sprint for records relating to Telephone No. (412)515-9670? 4 Α Yes, sir. 5 And based upon those records, does that number come 6 back to Frederick Banks with a billing address of 52 South 8th 7 Street, Pittsburgh, PA? 8 Α Yes. 9 DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 BY MR. CESSAR: 12 And, sir, if you could look at Government Exhibit 2, 13 what is that exhibit? 14 This is a document that I received from Gain Capital 15 detailing a schedule of electronic deposits into the 16 Frederick Banks forex.com account. 17 And where were those deposits purportedly coming from? 18 These were electronically transferred from a First 19 Niagara bank account that ended in the last four digits of 20 0906 purported to be an account owned by Frederick Banks. 21 And could you look at Government Exhibit 19, sir, the 22 next exhibit. 23 Α I have it. 24 What is that, sir? 25 Α

These are records obtained of the Grand Jury subpoena

- 1 from First Niagara Bank detailing the owner and account detail 2 for First Niagra opened in Frederick Banks's name.
  - Q And what were those accounts, sir?
- A There are two accounts. One account ends in 0906, there was another account ending in 8507.
- Q And so we're clear, there were ACH transactions from this account to Gain Capital, Forex?
- 8 A Correct.

- 9 Q Okay. And have you had an opportunity well, sir, did Gain Capital accept those ACH transfers?
- 11 A Yes, they did. The account was opened. The ACH
  12 deposits were transferred into the Forex trading account.
- There were four of them. They totaled approximately \$9,000 between the four transfers, the First Niagara account 0906
- 15 | into the Forex/Gain Capital account.
- 16 Q At some point did Gain Capital receive charges back on 17 those four and close that account?
- 18 A They did.
- Q And have you reviewed the monthly supervision reports that Mr. Banks completed and submitted to the Probation Office?
- 22 A I have. I have, yes, sir.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q And are those Government Exhibits 10, 11 and 12?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q What is Government Exhibit 10?

Government Exhibit 10 is a monthly supervision report 1 that was received by the US Probation Office on or about 2 August 20th pertaining to Frederick Banks. 3 4 Government Exhibit 11, sir? 5 Also a monthly supervision report submitted and received by the US Probation Office on or about 6 September 17th in relation to Frederick Banks. 7 8 And what about Government Exhibit 12? 9 Exhibit 12 is also a monthly supervision report that was received by the Western District US Probation Office on or 10 about June 20th in relation to Frederick Banks. 11 And on each of these reports does Mr. Banks list his 12 13 address? Yes. The street address he provided in each of these, 14 15 of these submission reports, lists Frederick Banks's residence as 52 South 8th Street, Pittsburgh PA, 15203. 16 17 And do they also include a telephone number? 18 They do. In each report it lists a -- in two instances a cell phone of (412)515-9670 and the -- another instance 19 lists it as a home phone, but the same phone number, 20 21 (412)515-9670. 22 And if you could look at Government Exhibit 10, do you 23 see that before you? 24 A I do.

And there's a section down there, Part D, monthly

25

- 1 | financial statement.
- 2 A Okay.
- Q What were Mr. -- what did Mr. Banks report as his net earnings from employment?
- 5 A In the Part D section he lists net earnings from 6 employment -- it looks like \$84.00.
- 7 Q Does he reflect any bank accounts there?
- A He does. He indicates that he has a First Niagara
  account with a balance of 2 the cents is not legible; and
  then a Wells Fargo account with a balance of \$6.00.
- 11 Q And does Mr. Banks indicate whether or not he has a 12 post office box?
- A He does. In that same section it asks: Do you rent or have access to a post office box? And he indicates that he does, and he provides the address of PO Box 42303, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 15203.
  - Q And if you could look at Government Exhibit 11, sir.
  - A Uh-huh, yes.

18

- Q Does Mr. Banks list employment?
- A He does under the Part B employment section. He indicates that his employer is Hexagon Records with an address of PO Box 42303 in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- Q And in the monthly financial statement does he indicate his net earnings from employment?
- 25  $\parallel$  A There's a section in Part B that lists that. He has

1 gross wages from Hexagon Records as \$224, position held as president. And then down below in the monthly financial 2 3 section Part D he lists earnings from employment as \$180. And does he indicate he has any bank accounts? 4 5 Again he indicates that he has a First Niagara bank 6 account, appears to be with \$25, and then a Wells Fargo 7 account with what looks like \$590. 8 And does he indicate if he has a post office box? 9 Again, he answers the question that he does have -- he 10 does rent or have access to a post office box and provides PO Box 42303 in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. 11 12 If you can look at Government Exhibit 12? Q 13 Yes, sir. 14 And focus you to the monthly financial statement. 15 he indicate total monthly cash inflows and outflows? 16 Α His monthly cash inflows is listed as \$600 He does. 17 approximate; and again the line below, total monthly cash 18 outflows of \$600 approximate. 19 Does he reflect if he has any bank accounts? 20 Two bank accounts. One is First Niagra, and He does. 21 in this instance he indicates that there is an account number, 22 and he lists it there, and the account ends in 8507 and has a \$70 balance. And then a Wells Fargo account that looks like 23 24 it has a last few digits of --25 Could that be a negative ten-ten?

1 Α Yes, it has a negative balance of ten-ten. 2 And while -- the First Niagara account, could that be a 3 negative ten dollars? 4 Α It appears to be a negative ten dollars, overdrafts. 5 Did you obtain the rental application for PO Box 42303, 6 Pittsburgh, PA, 15203, as reflected in Government Exhibit 10, 7 11 and 12? 8 Α Yes, sir. 9 What -- who owns that box? 10 DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection. 11 THE COURT: Who is the named --12 BY MR. CESSAR: 13 Whose name is on that box? 14 The PO box was rented on or about May 30th of 2013 15 for the business use in the name of Hexagon Records. 16 of the person applying for the PO box was Frederick Banks, 17 residing at 52 South 8th Street in Pittsburgh, PA, 15203. 18 And the procedure when somebody opens a box, do you have to provide identification before they'll open the box? 19 20 Α Yes, sir. 21 Did you talk to somebody at the post office to see if 22 that was done? 23 I did, and it was also indicated on the opening -- the Α 24 opening form to rent the box.

25

0

So --

1 Α The form indicated that the identification provided by 2 Frederick Banks was a Pennsylvania driver's license; and on 3 the form somebody indicated the operator license number of 4 6844 which correlates and matches to his actual Pennsylvania 5 Department of Transportation's records. It's the same 6 operator license number. 7 And one last point on Government Exhibits 10, 11 and 8 12. Does it represent gross wages on there anywhere? 9 It does, on -- in the Part B employment section for 10 Government Exhibit 10, although he doesn't list who the employer was, he indicates that he is the president and has 11 12 gross wages of \$234. In Exhibit 11, Employment B -- Part B 13 section he lists employer as Hexagon Records, and he has gross wages of \$224. And then on Exhibit 12 he lists the employer 14 15 as McDonald's and he has gross wages of \$600 for the month. 16 Could you look at Government Exhibit 3, sir. 17 Α Yes. 18 What is that document? 19 This is another secure online account application form submitted through the Internet using the Web site forex.com 20 for an account opening in the name of Mr. Freddie Banks. 21 22 And what information is contained on that account 23 application? This -- I'll add that this form was also received from 24

Gain Capital for the Grand Jury subpoena. What was the

1 question you asked? 2 What information is contained on there? 3 The account was named in the name of Mr. Freddie, 4 F-R-E-D-D-I-E, Banks. The name and e-mail address was 5 provided of FredBanks123@ymail.com. Home phone number is 6 listed as (412)481-6564. It's an individual account as 7 opposed to a corporate or business entity account. The home address listed for Mr. Freddie Banks is 52 South 8th Street, 8 9 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 15203. Also indicates that 10 Freddie Banks was a US citizen with a Social Security number 11 ending in 0573 with a date of birth of September 10, 1967. 12 Mr. Freddie Banks lists himself as a business 13 professional with an employer of Holcomb, H-O-L-C-O-M-B, and 14 that he is a vice-president of sales with a business address of 1739 East Carson Street in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 15203. 15 16 Annual income? 17 The annual income listed for Mr. Freddie Banks shows 18 that it's over \$500,000 and the net worth of over \$5 million. 19 0 And trading experience? 20 Trading experience for Mr. Freddie Banks is over three 21 years for stocks and equities and over three years for options 22 and over three years for futures and Forex training. 23 I'd like to go back a second. I neglected to ask you a 24 You indicated that the post office box was opened

with the e-mail FredBanks123@ymail.com?

1 Α Yes, sir. 2 If you look at Government Exhibit 1, which is the 3 Fred Banks Forex account opening document --4 Α Yes, sir. 5 -- is that the same e-mail address as on that document? 6 Α Yes, it is. 7 Q Okay, thank you. 8 DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection. I don't understand. Ι want him to clarify that. I don't understand what he's 9 10 saying. BY MR. CESSAR: 11 12 Sir, is the e-mail address used in the opening documents for the Frederick Banks Forex account the same 13 14 e-mail address that was used and provided in opening the post 15 office box? 16 Yes, sir; both the forex.com account and the post office box information was provided with the same e-mail 17 address, FredBanks123@ymail.com; same e-mail address. 18 19 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 20 BY MR. CESSAR: 21 Sir, if you would look at Government Exhibit 4 and explain to us what this document is. 22 23 Α Sure. Government Exhibit 4 was a schedule also provided by -- to me by Gain Capital. It is a schedule of 24

approximately 70 electronic ACH transactions between the dates

1 of --2 DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection. 3 THE COURT: What's the basis? DEFENDANT BANKS: It doesn't say it's a schedule on 4 5 this document anywhere. 6 THE COURT: He can testify to --7 BY MR. CESSAR: 8 What is this document, sir? 9 DEFENDANT BANKS: He indicates a schedule; it 10 doesn't say it. 11 BY MR. CESSAR: Is this a list of ACH transfers from First Niagara Bank 12 13 to Forex? 14 Yes, sir. 15 DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection. It doesn't say anywhere on here that it's Forex -- it says Forex. It doesn't 16 say forex.com. It says nowhere on here that it's First 17 18 Niagara on this document. 19 THE COURT: Why don't you lay a foundation, please. 20 BY MR. CESSAR: Sir, what does this document represent and where did 21 22 you obtain the information? 23 Again, this information came via subpoena production А from Gain Capital in relation to the forex.com accounts opened 24 in the name of Mr. Frederick Banks, Mr. Freddie Banks, and 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

also an account opened in Adam Corcoran's name. This is a document that was provided to me indicating a listing of ACH deposit transactions between an account held at First Niagara Bank that was -- which describes and identifies approximately 70 transactions of moving money from the First Niagara account into the newly opened Forex account in the name of Mr. Freddie Banks. And what is the total amount of these over 70 --THE COURT: The objection is overruled with that foundation being laid. BY MR. CESSAR: -- over 70 automated clearinghouse deposits, what was the total amount? Α It was approximately \$315,000. And is that from the account ending in 0906 at First Niagara? Α Yes, sir. Is that the same account that was used --DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection. There's nothing on here to indicate that it came from any First Niagra account. THE COURT: You can cross examine him. DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. THE COURT: It's overruled; you may proceed. BY MR. CESSAR: Q You can answer the question. Was that from the

1 account number ending in 0906 at First Niagara? 2 Α Yes, sir. 3 And you know that from the records of Gain Capital. 4 Α Yes, sir. 5 Between August 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> was there an attempt to draw money out of that account? 7 Yes, sir; there was. 8 And if you could look at Government Exhibit 8, what is that document? 10 DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection. There's nothing that 11 ties 8 to this document on the withdrawal, nothing. 12 THE COURT: Just lay a foundation, please. 13 BY MR. CESSAR: 14 Government Exhibit 8, from where did you obtain this 15 document? 16 Yes, sir. This document was obtained via Grand Jury 17 and subpoena production from Gain Capital. This document details a listing of attempted withdrawals from the newly 18 19 opened forex.com account in the name of Mr. Freddie Banks 20 between the dates of August 22nd and August 23rd of this 21 year. 22 And were the majority attempted outgoing wire 23 transfers, but were two of those attempts via check? 24 Α

Yes, there were.

What was the total amount --

1 DEFENDANT BANKS: There's nothing here that says 2 outgoing was -- it doesn't say anything about outgoing. 3 THE COURT: You can ask on cross examination. 4 DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. 5 THE COURT: You can proceed; overruled. 6 BY MR. CESSAR: 7 There is a line notice that says initial funding wire. 8 What does that mean? 9 It was described to me in my conversations with the Α 10 Gain Capital representatives that this schedule details outgoing wire transactions from the forex.com account in the 11 name of Mr. Freddie Banks to several accounts, also listed on 12 13 this schedule, to being accounts held at First Niagara Bank, one an account at American Express, and then there are two 14 checks detailed at the bottom in the amount of \$4,800 each. 15 16 The outgoing wires were sent -- were attempted to be sent to a First Niagara account ending in 8507 and 0906, both 17 of which based on records received from First Niagara that 18 they were accounts opened and maintained by 19 20 Mr. Frederick Banks. 21 And is that set forth in Government Exhibit 17, which 22 you previously testified to? 23 Α I think it was ---24 0 Or was it 19? 25 Α – Government Exhibit 19.

```
1
            Government Exhibit 19.
    Q
 2
    Α
            Yes, sir. Nineteen.
 3
            Okay. Did Gain Capital record a conversation by
    samebody purporting to be Freddie Banks and one of its
 4
 5
    employees?
 6
            Yes, sir.
    Α
 7
            And is that Government Exhibit 9?
 8
    Α
            I believe it is.
 9
               MR. CESSAR: May I have your indulgence a second --
10
               DEFENDANT BANKS: I'm going to object on the grounds
    of admissibility of the recording.
11
12
               THE COURT: Could you just lay a foundation, please.
13
               MR. CESSAR: Sure. I have to -- one second --
14
    BY MR. CESSAR:
15
            Sir, did you subpoena Gain Capital?
    Q
16
    Α
            Yes, I did.
17
            Did they provide a copy of a recording which they made
    between somebody purporting to be Freddie Banks and one of
18
    their employees?
19
20
    Α
            Yes, sir.
21
               MR. CESSAR: I have to do this again --
22
               (Recording played in open court.)
23
               DEFENDANT BANKS: I want to object, Your Honor.
24
               (Recording continues.)
25
               DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection.
```

1 THE COURT: What's the objection? 2 DEFENDANT BANKS: The objection is I don't have Michael or whoever this guy is in this courtroom to cross 3 It's under the confrontation clause. How can 4 examine him. 5 they do this? This is unbelievable. 6 THE COURT: This is not a criminal trial. This is a hearing on a revocation of supervised release and the Court is 7 allowed to hear -- have hearsay testimony. 8 9 You may proceed. 10 DEFENDANT BANKS: Your Honor, in --THE COURT: I've already overruled this. 11 12 You may go on. 13 BY MR. CESSAR: 14 Have you had an opportunity to hear Mr. Banks's voice? 15 Α Yes, I have. 16 Whose voice was that on the tape? 17 DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection, he's not an expert in voice analysis. 18 19 MR. CESSAR: He can testify as a lay witness, 20 Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 BY MR. CESSAR: 23 0 Whose voice is that? It to me sounds like Mr. Frederick Banks. 24 Α 25 Q Thank you. And there was a statement in there, the

person Fred Banks indicated that he was going to provide an online statement and copied and pasted right to you.

Could you look at Government Exhibit 6, sir.

A Yes.

Q What is that?

A This is a document that I received from Gain Capital again via Grand Jury subpoena production. They — Gain Capital told me that the account that was opened in Freddie Banks had all these multiple transactions of incoming and outgoing wires that were — in such a short period of time, so forex.com and Gain Capital put a freeze on the account to evaluate and determine if — you know, the validity of these transactions.

As part of this process they requested that Mr. Freddie Banks, purportedly the individual that opened this Forex account, provide a bank statement to show that there were enough funds in the account, the account that was indicated where these monies were coming from, again ending in 0906. They requested a document to substantiate there were funds in the bank account for which these deposits were coming over.

This document was what purportedly Mr. Freddie Banks provided to Gain Capital to substantiate the second account. It's a bank statement looking to be a First Niagra screen shot indicating that it was a checking account number ending in

- 1 | 0906 with a current balance of \$2,100,621.08 and an available 2 | balance of \$2,062,321.51 as of August 23rd, 2013.
  - Q And this is what was discussed in Government Exhibit 9, which was the telephone conversation.
  - A That's what the Gain Capital representatives were telling me, yes.
    - Q And have you subpoenaed First Niagara Bank for the records relating to the account ending in 0906?
    - A Yes, I have.
- 10 Q Would you look at Government Exhibit 17.
- 11 A I have.

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 12 | Q What is that document?
- 13 A This is Grand Jury subpoena production from First
  14 Niagara Bank and is a statement, is a bank statement for the
  15 time period between July 28th of 2013 with an ending date of
  16 approximately September 9th of 2013 in the account styled in
  17 the name of Frederick H. Banks.
  - Q And as of 8-23-2013 what is the balance in that account?
  - A It doesn't specifically list August 23<sup>rd</sup>. However, the most recent balance on August 22<sup>nd</sup> of 2013, the day before, shows a negative balance of 4,621.08.
  - Q So it's not a balance as reflected in Government Exhibit 6 of \$2.1 million.
- 25 A Definitely not.

1 Now, the account that was used that was opened in the Q name of Freddie Banks, did it have a Social Security number 2 3 associated with it? 4 Yes, sir; it did. Α 5 What were the last four digits of that account number? 6 The Social Security number provided as purportedly 7 being issued to Mr. Freddie Banks through the forex.com online 8 application shows a last four digits of 0503. 9 And who does that number go back to? 10 It is a valid issued Social Security number and it does 11 come back to a Freddie Banks. However, the database searches 12 indicate that that individual is deceased. 13 And that was Mr. Banks's mother, correct? 14 I believe it was, yes. Α 15 She died approximately December 18th of 2006? 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection. What relevance is 18 that, when my mother died? 19 MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, an account is opened in a deceased person's name. The entity opening it doesn't know 20 21 That's part of the fraud. I have -- the Government has 22 to show that the person is in fact deceased. 23 DEFENDANT BANKS: When she died, what relevance is 24 that, when?

It just is — it is explanatory

25

THE COURT:

information with respect to the person being deceased, and
it's relevant to note that she was deceased prior to the dates
in question. So the Court is going to permit it. It's
overruled.
BY MR. CESSAR:
Q Okay. If you could look at Government Exhibit 15, sir.
A I have it.

Q What is that document?

A This is another secure online account application that was opened through the Internet through the forex.com Web site in the name of Mr. Frederick Banks. I received this again via Grand Jury subpoena production from Gain Capital.

Q And what information is contained therein?

A The account was opened in Mr. Frederick Banks's name with an e-mail address of Frederick -- I'll spell it -- F-R-E-D-R-I-K-B-A-N-K-S and the number is 777@gmail.com. It has -- lists a home phone number of (412)567-8097, a mobile phone of (412)515-9670; and this is a -- an individual account as opposed to a corporate or business entity account.

The home address listed for Mr. Frederick Banks has 52 South 8th Street, Pittsburgh, PA, 15203. Mr. Frederick Banks hold himself out to be a US citizen. The last four digits of his Social Security number, 6411, with the date of birth of 9/10 of 1967. The application information provided on the application provided by Mr. Frederick Banks shows he's

employed by Hexagon Records, that he's an executive, and his 1 occupation of sales, retail and customer service; and the 2 3 business address is listed as PO Box 42303, Pittsburgh, PA, 15203. 4 5 And does it indicate an annual income and net worth? 6 It does. Mr. Frederick Banks reported he has an annual 7 income of over \$500,000, with a net worth of over \$5 million, 8 and again trading experience over three years of stock and 9 equities, experience indicated. 10 And the mobile phone number listed in that application, is that the same number as Government Exhibit 16, the records 11 12 you obtained from Sprint? Exhibit 16 is the subscriber information obtained by 13 Grand Jury subpoena from Sprint, and it is the same cell phone 14 15 number, (412)515-9670. 16 And the employer name there is Hexagon Records. Could 17 you look at Government Exhibit 11, which is the September 17th, 2013, monthly supervision report for 18 Mr. Banks. And does that indicate employment? 19 20 In Part B, employment, it lists Hexagon Α It does. Records with a PO Box of 42303 in Pittsburgh, PA. 21 22 And that's the same as in the application we're just 23 talking about?

 $\mathbb{Q}$  Now, sir, could you look at Government Exhibit 7.

24

25

Α

Yes, sir.

A I have it.

Q What is that, sir?

A This is yet another secure online account application that I received by Grand Jury subpoena from Gain Capital. It indicates — this account was — the information supplied was through the Internet, through the forex.com Web site, opened in the name of Mr. Adam Corcoran, C-O-R-C-O-R-A-N.

Q And what is the e-mail address?

A The e-mail address is listed H-L-L-C-F-A-C-T-O-R at yahoo.com.

Q Home phone number?

A Would you like me to just go down --

Q Yeah, just go down.

A The home phone number listed for Mr. Corcoran is (412)709-5443. This is a — as opposed to the three previous accounts, this is a corporate business entity account. The company — the name of the company listed is HLLC. The beneficial owner of HLLC is — purportedly is Adam Corcoran as a US resident and US citizen with a Social Security number of 208-12-5671. The date of birth of December 9 of 1971. The company address is 1739 East Carson Street, Pittsburgh PA, 15203.

It also has a Tax ID No. for HLLC as 52-236-7293. And the officer for HLLC is listed as Adam Corcoran as the CEO. The annual income shows over \$36 million for HLLC and the net

worth of over \$98 million. 1 2 The company address listed there, 1739 East 3 Carson Street, Pittsburgh, PA, 15203? 4 Α That's right. 5 If you could look at Government Exhibit 3, which is the account in the name of Freddie Banks, is that the same 7 business address? 8 All right -- the Exhibit 3 -- the business address looks here -- the business is Holcomb Company, does list the business address of 1739 East Carson Street, Pittsburgh, PA, 10 11 which matches the address provided in this online application 12 for Adam Corcoran. The company HLLC lists a company address 13 of 1739 East Carson Street. And what is Mr. Corcoran's purported income and net 14 15 worth? 16 On Page 2 of the application it shows that the 17 financial income for the company HLLC, not Adam Corcoran 18 personally, is \$36 million and the net worth of \$98 million. 19 Again this is a business account, not an individual account. 20 And if you go to the --0 21 DEFENDANT BANKS: I'm going to object. I'll object. 22 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 23 DEFENDANT BANKS: What does this application have to 24 do with Frederick Banks?

MR. CESSAR: We're going to get to that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: He's going to get to that. I'll permit 1 2 it. 3 BY MR. CESSAR: 4 If you could look at the fourth page of that document, 5 it's captioned corporate entity account questionnaire. 6 Yes, sir. 7 And does it indicate -- it's hard to read, but it says: 8 Please provide a detailed account of your core business 9 activities, your revenue sources. Can you read that? 10 I do see it, but --11 0 Okay. 12 Α The copy is not --13 Q Can you see --14 Α The corporate entity name is HLLC. 15 And Adam Corcoran is a hundred percent owner? 16 Α It does indicate that, yes. 17 0 And is there a signature on the bottom? 18 There's a signature line with a signature There is. 19 and the name is Adam Corcoran; and in print underneath with 20 the title of either CEO or CFO. It's hard to read. 21 And if you go to the next page of that document, what 22 is that? 23 This -- this again was provided to me as Grand Jury Α 24 subpoena production. In speaking with Gain Capital they 25 indicated that when there is a corporate entity involved, they

request and require banking information to substantiate the 1 2 opening of the account. This is purportedly a bank statement 3 with the bank Eureka Bank in the name -- the account is in the 4 name of Hexagon, LLC, with an address of 1739 East Carson Street with a Suite No. of 353 in Pittsburgh, PA, 5 6 15203. The account's listed as 01-25-12352, and it shows as 7 of August -- August 30th, 2013, it has an ending balance of 8 \$664,997.83. 9 And Hexagon, LLC, that is an entity that Mr. Banks had 10 used previously? 11 That is my understanding, yes. 12 0 And --13 DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection. 14 THE COURT: Do you want to lay a foundation for how 15 he has that understanding? 16 BY MR. CESSAR: 17 If you could look at Government Exhibit 11, sir, which 18 is September 17, 2013 --19 Α Yes. 20 -- does it indicate the name Hexagon Records? Q 21 Α It does, as the employer information, Hexagon Records. 22 Sir, did you subpoena Eureka Bank? 0 23 Α Yes, I did. 24 Could you look at Government Exhibit 18. Q 25 Α I have it.

1 DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection, because that's still 2 not a foundation because there is no indication that I 3 opened -- that I used -- this is me. 4 MR. CESSAR: We're going to get to that. 5 THE COURT: We're going to come to that. 6 DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. 7 BY MR. CESSAR: 8 Sir --Q 9 Α I have Exhibit 18, yes. 10 Okay. What is that? 11 Α This is a Grand Jury subpoena production from 12 Eureka Bank. We had requested account information to -- you 13 know, on the account number 01-25-12352. This is their 14 production and subpoena response to that Grand Jury. 15 What do they indicate? 16 They indicate that Eureka Bank has no accounts related 17 to Mr. Banks. His account in question was closed out in 2004. 18 I followed up with a phone call to Eureka Bank to again 19 clarify their statement here, and they told me that the 20 account number that's listed on this purported bank statement 21 did exist. It was opened in the name Hexagon, LLC, with that 22 address, with Frederick Banks as the authorized signer on the 23 account. However -- and that is the only account that they 24 have. However, that account was closed down in 2004, so there 25 has not been an active account in many years.

- Q So at the time of this bank statement, 30 August, 2013, the account had been closed for nine years?
  - A Correct.

6

16

17

18

19

- Q But prior thereto had been an account at Eureka Bank in the name of Hexagon, LLC, opened by Mr. Banks.
  - A Correct.
- 7 Q Did you talk to Adam Corcoran?
- 8 | A I did.
- 9 Q You interviewed him yesterday?
- 10 | A I interviewed him I believe on Monday, yes.
- 11 | Q Okay. How did you find him?
- 12 | A The -- it's interesting. When I ran the Social
- 13 | Security number as listed, as belonging to and issued to
- Mr. Adam Corcoran, it came back as not an active or valid or ever issued Social Security number.
  - When we executed a search warrant on the residence of Mr. Frederick Banks at 52 South 8th Street in Pittsburgh, PA, during the search I found a document —
  - DEFENDANT BANKS: I'm going to -- I never received a copy of the search warrant or anything.
- 21 🛮 BY MR. CESSAR:
- 22 | Q Special Agent --
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 | Q -- was there a search executed?
- 25 A Yes, there was.

1 Was an inventory left at the address? Q 2 Yes, sir; along with a copy of the warrant. 3 DEFENDANT BANKS: I object. I want to have that at 4 the hearing if he's going to testify to it. 5 THE COURT: Overruled at this stage. If you do have 6 a copy of the search warrant --7 MR. CESSAR: I can give him a copy of -- not the 8 search warrant, but of the inventory. 9 DEFENDANT BANKS: What about the house, is it 10 secured? 11 THE COURT: We're going to move on. 12 BY MR. CESSAR: 13 Q And can you look at Government Exhibit 20. 14 Α Yes, sir. 15 What is that? 16 This is a photocopy of a driver's license for 17 Adam E. Corcoran, the third. I found this document in the residence of Mr. Frederick Banks at 52 South 8th Street in 18 19 Pittsburgh, PA, amongst some of his belongings in one of the 20 rooms inside the house. 21 And using this identification, were you able to track 22 down Mr. Corcoran? 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 Q And you interviewed him you said?

25

Α

I did.

Q What did he tell you about his relationship with Mr. Banks?

He indicated that he — he at one point was friends with Mr. Frederick Banks. They were in a band together. They toured together back in the late '90s, early 2000s. There was a — they had a falling out over some monies that Mr. Corcoran thought that he was owed by Mr. Frederick Banks, and they broke off relationship in the early 2000s. He hasn't seen or really had any subsequent conversations with him since the early 2000s.

- Q And did you show him a copy of Government Exhibit 20?
- A Yes, sir; I did.

13 Q What did he tell you about that?

A He told me that he had no knowledge of Gain Capital or forex.com, that he had never opened an account via the Internet with forex.com, that all this information provided to open the account was not provided by him and it was fraudulent and he had no idea that this had happened. He doesn't know the address 1739 East Carson Street, he has — never had been affiliated or had any interest in any companies connected to or affiliated or owned by Frederick Banks.

- 22 Q Such as HLLC?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q Or Hexagon.
- 25 A Correct.

Q If you look at Government Exhibit 7, did you ask him about was that his signature?

A I asked him several things. I asked him if the Social Security number was accurate and he said no. The date of birth was his date of birth. I showed him the signature on the corporate entity account questionnaire where it was indicated that Adam Corcoran was a hundred percent owner of HLIC, and I showed him the signature down below. He said it looked a lot like his signature, but he — you know, he had never seen this document, he had never signed nor given permission for anybody to sign his name on any documents. There was a forgery according to Adam Corcoran.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

## BY MR. CESSAR:

Q

Q Did you ask Mr. Corcoran how Mr. Banks obtained his driver's license?

A He — I did. He indicated that, you know, they were friendly back in the late '90s, early 2000s; that they had toured together, they shared the same room, ate together, went to bars together. He told me that he never provided his driver's license to Mr. Banks. He does not know how Frederick Banks obtained a copy of his driver's license, but he was quite alarmed when I showed him the copy of it.

And when the search warrant was executed, did you find

1 computers and Internet or equipment that can access the 2 Internet? 3 Α I did, yes, sir. 4 What did you find? 5 I don't have the inventory in front of me but going 6 from memory we seized multiple laptops, an Apple product like 7 an iPad mini, looked like a Kindle Fire, we seized a cell 8 phone, all of which -- all could have access to the Internet, including laptops -- multiple laptops and netbooks. 10 After Mr. Banks was arrested on the supervised release 11 petition, did the United States Marshal's service seize 12 certain items from him? 13 Α Yes, they did. 14 And could you look at Government Exhibit 14. 15 Α I have it. 16 What are those exhibits? 17 Α This is a photocopy of just a few of the debit cards, 18 credit cards, prepaid cards that were on Mr. Frederick Banks 19 person incident to this arrest. 20 And the one on Mastercard is a credit card? 21 Α It does appear to be a credit card, yes, as opposed to 22 the other four -- other three cards there which all are debit 23 cards.

MR. CESSAR: If I may have a moment, Your Honor?

(Brief pause in proceedings.)

1 MR. CESSAR: I have no further questions, 2 Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. Are you moving to admit 4 these exhibits? 5 MR. CESSAR: Yes. I was going to -- I move to admit the Government Exhibits that have been tendered to the Court. 6 7 THE COURT: We just need to go through those. 8 MR. CESSAR: I will. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 MR. CESSAR: Government Exhibit 15, 3, 20, 14, 7, 11 18, 11, 12, 10, 16, 6, 17, 8, 1, 2 and 4, and Exhibit 9 which is the tape. 12 13 THE COURT: Is there an Exhibit 19 here? It was in 14 my pile. 15 MR. CESSAR: I might have missed it. That was --16 can you help me out, Special Agent? I'm terrible with 17 records. 18 THE WITNESS: Sure. 19 is the First Niagara 19 production. 20 THE COURT: I'm sorry --21 MR. CESSAR: That's 14. 22 THE COURT: That is 14. 23 MR. CESSAR: And 19 is the customer profile from First Niagra. 24 25 THE COURT: I'll just clarify this on here.

1 MR. CESSAR: That's why I had Cs in writing in 2 elementary school. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Banks, do you have an objection? 4 DEFENDANT BANKS: To what? 5 The admission of the exhibits. THE COURT: 6 DEFENDANT BANKS: No, go right ahead. No objection. 7 THE COURT: They are admitted. 8 Would you like to cross examine the witness? 9 DEFENDANT BANKS: If I could, I would like to get a copy of the search warrant that he talked about and the 10 11 affidavit attached. You stated you have it? THE COURT: He's going to give you a copy of the 12 13 inventory. 14 DEFENDANT BANKS: The inventory, yes, correct. 15 THE WITNESS: I might have a copy. 16 MR. CESSAR: May the agent step down --17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. CESSAR: -- and take a look? 19 (Agent steps down from stand and looks for inventory 20 and search warrant.) 21 MR. BANKS: And the warrant itself --22 (Off the record discussion.) 23 MR. CESSAR: We have one copy, Your Honor. Would it -- could I impose upon somebody to make a copy while we're 24 25 waiting? Would that be okay?

## S. Langford - Cross 1 THE COURT: Hand it up. 2 MR. CESSAR: Thank you. 3 (Copy prepared.) 4 THE COURT: Would you like to start your cross 5 examination? 6 DEFENDANT BANKS: 7 CROSS EXAMINATION 8 BY DEFENDANT BANKS: 9 Government Exhibit 4 ---10 THE COURT: What's the exhibit number? 11 DEFENDANT BANKS: 4. 12 THE COURT: Could you come to the podium? It might 13 be easier for the court reporter. 14 DEFENDANT BANKS: Who, me? 15 THE COURT: Yes. DEFENDANT BANKS: All my stuff is right here, 16 17 though. 18 THE COURT: Then move the microphone closer to you 19 then and speak directly into the bulb of the mike. 20 DEFENDANT BANKS: Is that better? 21 THE COURT: Much better. 22 BY DEFENDANT BANKS: 23 Q Government Exhibit 4. 24 A I have it. 25 Got it?

A Yes, sir.

Q Tell me, agent -- what was your name again?

A Sean Langford.

Q Mr. Langford — Agent Langford, what on here — on this exhibit shows anything that's tied to any First Niagra on this account from looking at this exhibit?

A Sure. That's a good question. The schedule shows on -- you know, it has rows and columns, and at the top it has an index of what --

DEFENDANT BANKS: Objection, non -- non-responsive to my question.

THE COURT: He's explaining to you the answer. Let him finish his answer.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay.

THE WITNESS: The column that is headlined amount, account, suffix. Below the dollar amount, I'll direct you to the first line, the very top line that has account information in it. It shows that there's a dollar amount of \$4,500 USD, US dollars, and undermeath it is an indication of 0906; and you'll see that designation all the way down for all of these approximately 70 items.

Speaking with Gain Capital and reviewing this document, this is where it indicates that all these ACH deposits were coming from an account ending in 0906.

BY DEFENDANT BANKS:

Q Okay. And what on here -- just looking at the document -- ties it to forex.com?

A This was provided to me by the company, Gain Capital, which owns and operates forex.com. So I — and this was produced by Grand Jury subpoena production from said company, so this is in the context of forex.com. But on the top of each row here the headline for merchant ID, date and time, if you see, that indicates forex, F-O-R-E-X. But this again was provided to me in the context of a Grand Jury subpoena production from Gain Capital and Forex.

Q I'm sure you know it's just a foreign exchange, just currency. There is — what is on here to indicate that that's forex.com on this, looking at this document?

A I think you have to take it in the context of what the document is and how it's produced. It does not say forex.com on the document. However, it was provided to me by forex.com in relation to the account that was opened and provided from Grand Jury subpoena production.

Q You said you executed a search warrant at 52 South 8th Street, correct? You were present at the search?

- A Yes, I was.
- 23 Q Okay. How did you get into the residence, using a key?
  - A We attempted to use a key. However, there was a lock on the front door that was not responsive to a key. The first

- window next to the front door was unlocked, so we opened the window and made access that way.
  - Q Did you secure the house?
- 4 A Yes, I did.

- 5 Q Now, the account number you were talking about on
- 6 Exhibit 4, again 0906, the ending account number ties to what
- 7 | First Niagara account?
- 8 A The account in your name, Frederick H. Banks, full account number XXXXXX0906.
- 10 | Q Okay. Let's look at Government Exhibit 6. Have it?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 Q Whose name is on it?
- 13 A It does not list an individual's or company name on this document.
- 15 Q You stated -- you've done -- I guess you've done -16 you've done more investigations than fraud cases, is that
- 17 | correct?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 Q Are you familiar with a brokerage account?
- 20 A Brokerage account?
- 21 Q Yes, or trading account.
- 22  $\parallel$  A What kind of trading account are you referring to?
- 23 Q Any kind.
- 24 A I have had investigations where I have seen and 25 experienced trading accounts in various types of assets.

- Q Okay. And trading accounts, would you agree, require cash to open it?
- A No, I disagree. No, not necessarily.

- Q My question is a forex.com account requires cash, correct, to open it.
  - A I disagree with your characterization of how you open an account. You need some kind of funds, whether it's an actual deposit or it's a movement of electronically moving of funds. It depends on where you open the account and how you do it and where you do it.
  - Q Let me change the question. To fund a forex.com or Gain Capital account does it require cash?
  - A In order to open an account and effect trades, there needs to be a balance of available funds in order to purchase securities in whatever form it is, whether it's futures or foreign exchange options. So, yes, I would agree with you there needs to be funds established in an account in order to trade on that account.
  - Q And because it requires cash to have an account at Forex or Gain, it is not a credit account. Correct?
- A All I know is that there needs to be funds in the account. It is not a depends on where the funds come from, whether it's a loan or it's actual assets and liquid assets in a checking account or whatever. There needs to be funds in a forex.com trading account. I don't believe they care where it

- 1 comes from except it needs to be legitimate funds in order --
- 2 Q What is a credit account?
- 3 A Credit account is typically an individual or company
- 4 gains access to funds via a credit risk application. It's
- 5 | like a loan.
- 6 Q And okay -- Government Exhibit 15.
- 7 | A Yes.
- 8 Q Give me one second, I apologize. I'm sorry, Government
- 9 Exhibit 1 is what I meant to ask you. Do you have it?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q Okay. I want to go down to the security question. Let
- 12 me ask you this: You got my license and you looked me up and
- 13 | all that, correct?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15 Q That's what you stated.
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q What city -- what city was I born in?
- 18 A What city were you born in?
- 19 Q What city was on my license?
- 20 | A The Pennsylvania Department of Transportation lists you
- 21 | have a residence in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with the street
- 22 address of 52 South 8th Street.
- 23 Q And how many letters in Pittsburgh?
- 24 | A I'm sorry?
- 25 Q Are you good at math?

1 MR. CESSAR: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What's the --

## BY DEFENDANT BANKS:

- Q The question is how many letters are in Pittsburgh?
- 5 The word, how many letters?
  - A Ten, I think, if my math is correct.
- 7 Q Okay. Turn to Exhibit 1 again, please.
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Security question says: City you were born in.
- 10 A Okay.

2

3

4

6

15

Α

- 11 Q Count for me the letters of the answer.
- 12 A It looks like there's eight asterisks there.
- 13 Q You said there's eight asterisks in the answer and in 14 Pittsburgh, the city, there's 11 letters.
- 14 Pittsburgh, the city, there's 11 letters.
- 16 Q Correct?
- 17 A I got ten letters.

Okay.

- 18 Q Right. You're saying there's eight here and you're
  19 saying in Pittsburgh --
- 20 A There's more than eight, correct.
- 21 Q Government Exhibit 16.
- 22 | A Yes.
- Q We were talking -- you were talking about this earlier, talking about the subpoena from Sprint. And in the account
- 25 details it gives a phone number. Do you see it under account

- 1 | contact numbers?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q What is the phone number?
- 4 | A (412) 441–7896.
- Q And is that the same number as the number that was used on these account applications?
- 7 A It does not match the phone number in Exhibit 1.
- 8 Q Thirteen, Government Exhibit --
- 9 A It does not match Exhibit 15.
- 10 Q Thank you.
- 11 A That phone number, 441-7896, does not match any number 12 on Exhibit 3.
- Q Does it, in fact, match any of the exhibits in this courtroom?
- 15 A No. It doesn't.
- 16 Q Please turn to Government Exhibit 13, Page 6.
- 17 A Government Exhibit --
- 18 Q Thirteen. That was entered, correct, 13? Do you have
- 19 | it?
- 20 | A I don't have 13.
- 21 DEFENDANT BANKS: Did you admit it?
- MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, Government Exhibit 13 will be admitted into evidence through the probation officer. It's not been admitted. I provided Mr. Banks a copy ahead of time.
- 25 DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay.

- 1 BY DEFENDANT BANKS:
- 2 Q All right. Let's talk about then 15 and Government
- 3 | Exhibit 1.
- 4 | A Okay, I have 15 and 1.
- 5 Q Whose signature is on those exhibits authorizing the
- 6 pening of the account? What signature is it?
- 7 A Mr. Banks, this is a electronic online account opening
- 8 and there is no actual signatures on these account
- 9 | applications. This is a printed form --
- 10 Q All I'm asking you is what signature is on those
- 11 exhibits. That's the question.
- 12 A There is no signature on these exhibits.
- 13 Q Okay, thank you. Let's go to 14, please.
- 14 A Okay.
- 15 Q Are you looking at four credit cards or -- four cards,
- 16 correct?
- 17 | A Correct.
- 18 Q Okay. TD Ameritrade, US Bank and Huntington, am I
- 19 || right?
- 20 A And Mastercard.
- 21 Q And a Mastercard, correct?
- 22 A Capital One.
- 23 | Q On three of the cards above the logo what does it say?
- 24 A Above the Visa logo?
- 25 Q Yes, and the Mastercard logo.

A It says debit.

- 2 Q And on what cards does it say that?
- 3 A It says that on the TD Ameritrade Visa, US Bank Visa 4 card, and the Huntington Bank Mastercard.
- 5 Q And what is the debit card, do you know?
- A Debit cards is like an ATM card which you can also use as point of sale to purchase items from stores.
- 8 Q And where does the money come from?
- 9 A It should be backed by physical funds in a bank account.
- 11 Q So it's not a credit card, correct?
- 12 A Debit cards are not credit cards.
- 13 Q Okay. You say you did a lot of investigations in fraud
- 14 cases. Are you aware of the company called Capital One?
- 15 | A Yes.
- 16 Q Are you aware that they offer a prepaid card?
- 17 A I'm sure they do as a commercial product.
- 18 | Q Okay.
- 19 A Typically they'll say debit cards; this one does not.
- 20 Q Do we have the physical card here?
- 21 | A No.
- 22 Q Where is it?
- 23 A In FBI evidence.
- DEFENDANT BANKS: Your Honor, I'm going to move to strike the Capital One because on the back of that card it

## S. Langford - Redirect

says prepaid on it and we don't have the card here. It's not a credit card, it's a prepaid debit card.

MR. CESSAR: Objection, Your Honor. The burden is on the Defendant to prove that.

DEFENDANT BANKS: I can't prove that if you don't bring the exhibit. This is not an exhibit.

THE COURT: If you have the original --

MR. CESSAR: I'll have to find it.

THE COURT: You can find it and bring it, okay?
We're probably going to have to continue this hearing given
the length of time it's taking today.

DEFENDANT BANKS: That's pretty much all I got for this witness, Your Honor.

MR. CESSAR: Just a few questions, Your Honor.

### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. CESSAR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Mr. Banks was asking you about Government Exhibit 4, and you indicated that under the account amount, account suffix 0906, correct?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And if you look at Government Exhibit 19, that was one of the accounts in First Niagara opened by Mr. Banks.
- A Yes, sir; correct.
- Q 0906.
- 25 A Correct.

# S. Langford - Redirect

- 1 Q Mr. Banks also inquired about the Sprint information 2 that was subpoenaed, Government Exhibit 16.
  - A Yes, sir.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 4 Q What number was the subject of the subpoena?
- 5  $\blacksquare$  A The subpoena was the phone number of (412)515-9670.
- Q And that is the number contained on the applications, the Forex applications at Government Exhibit 1 — if you can help me out here, Agent.
  - A Sure. It is on Government Exhibit 1, but it also is on Exhibit No. 15, and is also listed on Frederick Banks's monthly supervisory report issued under penalty of perjury to the US Probation Office.
  - Q Thank you. And there was also additional questions regarding the password for the one account and whether there were eight or ten letters.
  - A Correct.
- 17 | Q Do you know where Mr. Banks was born?
- 18 A No, I don't.
- 19 Q But you do know his Pittsburgh driver's license says 20 where he resides today.
- 21 A Correct.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q So there's no correlation between the two.
- 23 A None.
- Q And just so we're clear on the record there was some inquiry about Government Exhibit 6. This was provided after

## S. Langford - Recross

the recorded conversation between Gain Capital and somebody purporting to be Freddie Banks.

A I don't know that, the timing of when Gain Capital received this particular document in relation to the recorded phone call. The account was frozen pending substantiation of the funds in the account and I — speaking with the Gain Capital representatives, this phone call — when they relate and mentioned the account statement being provided in the recording, this is the document that they're referring to. This is the only document that was provided by, purportedly, Freddie Banks in order to substantiate the funds, ACH deposit into the account.

MR. CESSAR: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything?

DEFENDANT BANKS: I just want to ask a brief question.

### RECROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY DEFENDANT BANKS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q You said you looked at my an individual named Freddie Banks and pulled the information, correct?
- A Repeat the question.
- 22 | Q You talked earlier about getting information on 23 | Freddie Banks, is that correct?
- 24 A Freddie Banks.
- 25 | Q Freddie, F-R-E-D-D-I-E, correct?

1 Α Yes. 2 What was the maiden name on the name? 3 The information that I received was both open source 4 and law enforcement databases. I specifically ran the Social 5 Security number and it came back to a Freddie Banks. That 6 number has been indicated by the Social Security 7 Administration that the individual issued that Social Security 8 number is deceased. 9 Right. What was Freddie Banks's maiden name, do you 10 know? 11 I don't have it here -- maybe. Α 12 0 Can we stipulate that it was Brown? 13 Α No. 14 MR. CESSAR: I prefer the agent determine that. 15 I don't have those records with me THE WITNESS: 16 right now. 17 DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. No further questions. MR. CESSAR: May this witness be excused, 18 19 Your Honor? 20 THE COURT: Yes, he may, subject to recall if 21 there's any question about the original of the one card for 22 Capital One. 23 MR. CESSAR: Yes, Your Honor. We were going to call the probation officer, but you had indicated we're -- we can 24 25 hold off on that until --

1 THE COURT: Why don't we get started. 2 MR. CESSAR: Okay. 3 (Dr. Wettstein exited the courtroom.) 4 MR. CESSAR: At this time the Government calls 5 Mr. Ben Orrison. 6 7 BEN ORRISON, a witness herein, having been first 8 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 THE COURT: Thank you, please take the stand. 11 BY MR. CESSAR: 12 Would you please state your name for the record. Q 13 Α It's Benjamin Orrison, O-R-R-I-S-O-N. 14 0 And by whom are you employed, sir? 15 Α The United States Probation Office. 16 Q And how long have you been so employed? 17 Α In a variety of capacities since 2009. 18 Q And at some point did you become the supervisory 19 probation officer for Mr. Frederick Banks? 20 Α That is correct. 21 0 And was that on two different court cases? 22 Α Yeah, two different criminal cases. 23 Criminal numbers. And have you had occasion to meet Q 24 with him?

25

Α

I have.

- 1 Q You've talked to him?
- 2 A Yep.
- 3 0 You've heard his voice?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q Did you hear Government Exhibit 9 in this courtroom?
- 6 A The recording?
- $7 \parallel Q$  The recording.
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Whose voice is that?
- 10 A Fred Banks.
- 11 Q Now, at some point when you began your supervision or
- 12 | when anybody -- when a Defendant comes out of prison and
- 13 | begins their supervision, do they meet with the probation
- 14 officer and go over the judgment and commitment order?
- 15 A They do.
- 16 Q Okay. And did somebody from the Probation Office do
- 17 | that with Mr. Banks?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 Q And I'd like you to look at Government Exhibit 13.
- 20 believe you have a copy of that. Is that a copy of the
- 21 | judgment and commitment order that was reviewed with
- 22 Mr. Banks?
- 23 A It was.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q Okay. It wasn't you that did that, though, correct?
- 25 A Correct.

- Q Okay. Now, in your supervision of Mr. Banks, have you heard of a company called Hexagon Records?
  - A I have.

3

9

10

18

19

20

21

- 4 | Q What do you know about Hexagon Records?
- A On his on his written monthly reports he would refer to Hexagon Records as his employment. And looking back through his old presentence report, Hexagon Records was mentioned in the body of the report.
  - Q And was Mr. Banks required to provide to you monthly reports of supervision?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 Q Did he provide those to you?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. And if you could, I provide you Government
- 15 Exhibits 10, 11 and 12, if you could identify those.
- 16 A Ten, 11 and 12 are all written monthly supervision reports.
  - Q And on each one of those reports which have previously been admitted did Mr. Banks certify that all the information furnished was complete and correct?
  - A He did through his signature on the back of all the reports.
- 23 | Q Okay.
- DEFENDANT BANKS: Could you repeat that question? I didn't really hear it. Repeat the -- the question and the

- 1 answer?
- 2 MR. CESSAR: I'll repeat the question.
- 3 BY MR. CESSAR:
- 4 Q Did Mr. Banks sign each of these forms: I certify that
- 5 | all information as furnished is complete and correct?
  - A That's correct.
- 7 Q Okay. Now, sir, if you look at Government Exhibits 10,
- 8 | 11 and 12, and if you look at Government Exhibit 10 first,
- 9 does Mr. Banks reflect having any checking or savings
- 10 | accounts?

- 11 A Yes, on both.
- 12 | Q Okay. What does he reflect?
- 13 A An account through First Niagara and a Wells Fargo
- 14 savings account.
- 15 Q It reflects a balance there, doesn't it?
- 16 A It does.
- 17 Q And it says: Attach a complete listing of all other
- 18 | financial account information if you have multiple accounts.
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q Did he ever tell you he was opening an account at
- 21 | Gain Capital?
- 22 A He did not.
- 23 Q If you look at Exhibit 10 or Exhibit 11, same section,
- 24 does he indicate --
- 25 A He indicates First Niagra and Wells Fargo accounts.

- 1 Q No Gain Capital?
- 2 | A No Gain Capital.
- 3 Q Government Exhibit 12, likewise.
- 4 A The same First Niagra and Wells Fargo accounts.
- 5 Q No Gain Capital?
- 6 | A No.
- 7 | Q Should he have disclosed this to you?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q If you could look at Government Exhibit 14, sir, which
- 10 | I will provide to you, a copy of some debit and credit cards.
- 11 MR. CESSAR: And, Your Honor, this will be subject
- 12  $\parallel$  to providing additional information, the back of the card,
- 13 | okay?
- 14 THE COURT: Okay.
- 15 BY MR. CESSAR:
- 16 Q There's a Mastercard there from -- do you see the cards
- 17 | there?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 Q Where is the Mastercard from?
- 20 A Looks like there's two on there, the one through
- 21 Capital One and the other one through Huntington.
- 22 Q The one Huntington indicates it's a debit card,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Is there an indication that the Capital One account is

- 1 | a debit card?
- 2 A No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

3 | Q Were you aware that Mr. Banks --

DEFENDANT BANKS: Your Honor, I'm going to object because I think we should have that exhibit before he can — he can even ask these questions in front of us.

THE COURT: We'll postpone that question because he will have to come back.

MR. CESSAR: Okay. I have one more question.

THE COURT: Okay.

- BY MR. CESSAR:
- 12 Q Now, when you supervised Mr. Banks, did you have to 13 visit him at his home?
- 14 | A I did.
- 15 Q What was that address?
- 16 A 52 South 8th Street, Pittsburgh, PA, 15203.
- 17 Q And did you see computers and/or other equipment that would be able to be used to access the Internet?
- 19 A During a walk-through on one of the visits I confronted 20 Fred on — after seeing two — what I felt were computers in 21 his residence.
- 22 Q Okay. And you asked him about them?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And what did he tell you?
- 25 | A He advised me that he had purchased -- well, initially

he had said the — the agents — it was computers the agents returned from his instant offense. And then he — I advised him that the netbook looked — or what appeared to be a netbook is too new to be what the agents returned to him in 2004. And he advised he had purchased that while in the halfway house.

MR. CESSAR: No further questions, Your Honor.

### CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY DEFENDANT BANKS:

- Q Let's go to 10, 11 and 12, all briefly.
- 11 **||** A Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

21

22

23

24

- 12 Q And under the net earnings from -- do you have the 13 checking account, that section?
- 14 A Yes.
  - Q It says checking account or savings account on all the exhibits, correct?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q Okay. You just heard the FBI agent testify that a 19 fourth account is a trading account, correct?
- 20 **|** A I ---
  - MR. CESSAR: I don't believe the agent testified to that, Your Honor. I don't think --
  - DEFENDANT BANKS: Your Honor, I asked if the the agent if the one account was a trading account. I specifically talked about if that required cash, and he said

1 | it was.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: It required some funding.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes, funding to open a trading account. And what I'm asking the -- what I'm asking -- I'm sorry, Ben, I don't know his last name, the US probation officer here is that --

## BY DEFENDANT BANKS:

- Q You heard him say that a fourth account was a trading account, correct?
- A I did hear that today.
- 11 Q Okay. And in this section it says checking account,
  12 savings account; it says nothing about a trading account, does
  13 it?
  - A It says attach a listing of all other account information.
  - Q That was non-responsive okay. Let me go to let me go to let's stay here for a second. At the very top, whose handwriting is that? See where it scratches off October? October is what I wrote, and then I seen August above that.
  - A That is correct. I corrected that.
- Q Okay. But when I submitted the statement, do you realize that I meant to submit it for October because I was —
  I was submitting statements ahead, in advance?
- 25 A I don't really recall what you're --

- Q What I'm asking you is I submitted my reports and at the same time, according to the exhibit, I sent money to the Clerk of Court. Like on Government Exhibit 10 I submitted it in October, which was scratched out or I submitted it for October, I should say, for October, which is scratched out.
- 6 And I indicated that -- let's see here --
- 7 THE COURT: What exhibit are you looking at?
  8 DEFENDANT BANKS: Exhibit 10, Your Honor.
- 9 BY DEFENDANT BANKS:
- 10 Q And I indicated at the same time that I made a payment 11 towards restitution in the amount of 20 cents on Page 2.
- 12 | Correct?

1

2

3

4

- 13 A Correct.
- Q Okay. So -- and then we have on 11 -- we have my month scratched out and written in there is August. Is that you as well?
- 17 A That is me as well.
- Q Okay, all right. Let's go to the amended judgment which is Government Exhibit 13.
- 20 | A Okay.
- 21 Q On Page 6, please, you see schedule of payments, 22 correct?
- 23 A Page 6 -- where at?
- Q Page 6 on the amended judgment in this case. It's Government Exhibit 13. Do you have it?

- 1 A I have 13 --
- 2 Q Okay, Page 6 at the top. It's page 6 of 6.
- 3 | A Where it says schedule of payments?
- 4 Q Yes.
- 5 A Okay.
- Q What is filled out in that section on the schedule of payments?
- 8 A Looks like for Part F, special instructions regarding 9 the payment --
- 10 Q Not the special instructions. In A, B, C, D, E, where 11 they're supposed to put the schedule, what's filled out? Is 12 there anything filled out in that section?
- 13 A There is nothing filled out.
- Q Okay. And you're aware are you aware that the Court had the Judge has to set the payment schedule? You can't set it, are you aware of that?
- 17 | A Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. Now, let's go to Government I don't know what exhibit it is, but the supplemental petition. I'm not sure what the number is on that. I have it here; I don't have the marking on it.
- MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, we did not admit the supplemental petition as an exhibit.
- DEFENDANT BANKS: I want to move to admit it because

  I need to ask him a question on it -- one or two questions on

the supplemental.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

20

21

THE COURT: It's a matter of -- I can take judicial notice of it, so you can show it to him.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay.

#### BY DEFENDANT BANKS:

- Q Under the special conditions, it's well, first off, let me say this. Under the special conditions on Page 1, it's like the third condition, it says: Restitution to be paid in monthly installments of I guess NLT, which is not less than, is that correct?
- A That is correct.
- 12 Q Ten percent of gross monthly income. See that? You 13 don't see it, but you remember it saying that?
  - A That's common in those judgment and commitment orders.
  - Q Okay. And on Page 2 of the petition it says
    United States probation officer, and that's your signature?
  - A In the supplemental petition?
- 18  $\parallel$  Q Yeah, on the second page, where you sign.
- 19 A I should have one of the signatures on there, yes.
  - Q And it says supervising US probation officer, and that's the supervising US probation officer's signature?
- 22 | A Yes.
- 23 Q And it says: I declare under penalty of perjury that 24 the forgoing is true and correct.
- 25 A That's correct.

- Q So you signed it under perjury.
- A I didn't sign anything under perjury.
  - Q What I'm saying is this section, it says: I declare under penalty of perjury --

THE COURT: I'm just going to — because we're running out of time, I want you to look at the additional supervised release terms that are a part of Exhibit No. 13. And this would be No. 3.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. I didn't -- I didn't notice that.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

### BY DEFENDANT BANKS:

- Now, according to 10, 11 and 12, and like I said at the top, I'm sending this in advance, and one has October, et cetera. Were you aware that I was submitting these through the mail in advance?
- A The monthly reports are typically submitted for the summary of the previous month. And many people make that mistake of either putting the month the current month or a future month, not understanding, and more than just your occasion I routinely fix that —
- 22 | Q Right.
  - A -- to accurately reflect.
  - Q I understand. Were you aware you were getting multiple reports in the same month and they were coming in as advance

- 1 reports because of the way I labeled them?
- 2 A I was not aware of that.
- 3 Q Okay. Well, were you aware that I was paying money to
- 4 | the Clerk of Courts? Did you ever check with the Clerk of
- 5 | Courts?
- 6 A I've -- I -- I pull up -- it's a system we work through
- 7 | the clerks with, and I review that periodically.
- 8 Q Did you see money coming in for me at the Clerk of
- 9 | Court?
- 10 A It was coming in at some points, but I think it was
- 11 being put towards your special assessment fee.
- 12 Q Right. But there was money being paid; you saw it, for
- 13 | this case, at the Clerk of Court; correct?
- 14 | A There was occasions where 20 cents or a dollar.
- 15 | Q Right -- did those money amounts match these 10 or 11
- 16 | or 12 exhibits that came in to the clerk?
- 17 A Are you referring to where you indicated whether you
- 18 paid it or not?
- 19 Q Yes, and the amount I paid.
- 20 A It seems like it. I can't recall exactly if they're
- 21 | right.
- 22 | Q Are you aware if I'm in compliance with the payment
- 23 | schedule, I would not need your permission to open a credit
- 24 | account?
- 25 | A The payment schedule is at ten percent and based off of

 $\parallel$  your earnings. Ten percent is not what you were paying.

- Q Do you recall at the Magistrate Judge hearing you state under oath when you were on the stand that I was unemployed? Do you recall that?
- A I do recall that.
- Q Okay. If I'm unemployed, what is ten percent of zero?
- 7 A Zero.

- 8 Q And how much did I pay?
- 9 A Slightly more than that.
  - Q Okay. Then I was in compliance, correct? Under that standard.
    - MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, we're talking apples and —
      I have no idea what's going on, but I don't see why it's
      relevant. Also we don't have the records as to what he
      actually paid, and he's asking the witness to testify to
      things he can't really verify.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Your Honor --

THE COURT: The witness has said that he had checked into that. So at this stage it's really a question about whether or not he had any income during these periods for the condition to be imposed.

DEFENDANT BANKS: And what I was getting to,
Your Honor, as you know, is that I was indicating that I was
compliant with the payment schedule; therefore, I didn't need
his permission to open any credit account. And on top of

# B. Orrison - Redirect

that, none of these accounts that were allegedly opened are credit accounts. None of them.

No further questions.

MR. CESSAR: If I can real quickly?

THE COURT: Okay.

#### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. CESSAR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q I think you indicated this. Government's 10, 11 and 12 are not to be submitted prospectively based upon what may happen two or three months in advance, correct?
- A That's correct.
- Q This is supposed to reflect what actually happened here and now, today, at the time of the report.
  - A Typically we are supposed to get them the first five days of the month and they're supposed to reflect what happened the previous month.
  - Q And each of these has a received stamp which reflects when they were received, correct?
  - A That is correct.
  - Q Okay. And if you look at Government Exhibit 10, does
- 21 Mr. Banks indicate he had net earnings from employment?
- 22 A He reflects on 10 \$84.
- Q Government Exhibit No. 11, does he indicate net earnings from employment?
- 25 A \$180.

### B. Orrison - Redirect

- Q And then on Government Exhibit 12, total monthly cash inflow, \$600?
- $3 \parallel A$  That's what it was.
  - Q It says minimum wage?
  - A Yes.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

21

22

23

24

- Q It says he's employed by McDonald's?
- 7 A Correct.

MR. CESSAR: No further questions, Your Honor.

### RECROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY DEFENDANT BANKS:

- Q Does it indicate anywhere on these monthly supervision reports that I cannot send them in in advance? Does it say it anywhere?
- 14 A Not to my -- no.
- Do you recall me asking you for me to that I could apply for Second Chance Act money and also for a URA loan so I could get my lights turned on, my gas turned on, my water turned on, and my roof fixed? Do you recall that?
  - A I recall that, yes.
- 20 Q What was your answer to that?
  - A I said based off of the history of fraud and the lack of income that I couldn't approve you obtaining the loan necessary for the grant. And you were advised that there was no Second Chance money available at the time.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q Do you recall that I lived in the residence for

### B. Orrison - Redirect

probably one — one to two months without power, but I did have water; no power, no gas. Do you recall that?

A I recall coming up to your residence and there being issues with utilities.

Q Do you recall that as a result of that, of me not having enough money to get things turned on because I had to have major repairs done, that you told me I didn't have to worry about paying the ten percent?

A I advised you that although I couldn't permanently change your restitution schedule, that I could have some flexibility and allow you to put more money towards your home as opposed to paying towards restitution initially.

Yes. And do you recall as a result of that I wasn't compliant at the time that these were submitted because you gave me permission to not have to pay as much because you knew I was trying to get my utilities restored because the Government would not give — let me apply for a loan and would not give me Second Chance Act money that I was entitled to, a federal inmate released from prison?

A I also supervise you at Criminal No. 03, and that one you are — you are to get my permission before you open a line of credit. The 2004 one does say if you're in compliance; but the 2003 criminal case says it needs to be approved by the Probation Office.

Q Right. But that case is not before Your Honor right

We're only talking about the Judge Conti --1 here. 2 Α I supervise you on both criminal matters. 3 This hearing is only concerned with the Judge Conti 4 matter. Α That's correct. 5 6 Okay. So all I'm saying is that you gave me permission 7 to not have to pay the full ten percent based on I was trying to get my power restored. You recall that. And I'm just 8 9 saying that since you did that, I was in compliance with the 10 schedule at the time these were submitted. You were in compliance with my directive? 11 Α 12 Yes. 13 Α My directive, yes. 14 Yes. And do you recall that at one point the Clerk of 15 Courts sent me a letter telling me not to send in change 16 because they don't want to take change? That's what I was 17 e-mailed about because that's all I had? 18 I was not privy to any correspondence with the Clerk of 19 Courts. 20 DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. No further questions. 21 THE COURT: Okay. How much longer do you think you 22 will need? 23 MR. CESSAR: I'm done. 24 THE COURT: You're done, okay. 25 So, Mr. Banks, how much longer do you think you'll

need? 1 2 DEFENDANT BANKS: I don't have any further questions 3 to ask. THE COURT: All we have is this question of the 4 5 credit card, is that correct? Maybe we can come back at 2:00 6 today. We have just that discrete issue -- 2:15. DEFENDANT BANKS: Your Honor, I think we can resolve 8 it because all we have to do is go to CapitalOne.com and the 9 prepaid card or secure card, and it's going to come right up. 10 They have prepaid. 11 THE COURT: I don't have that printed. 12 DEFENDANT BANKS: It's just on the web site. 13 Anybody can go in there online, type it in, CapitalOne.com, do 14 a search for secure credit card, prepaid card. I even have a 15 case right here I can read into the record that says that 16 Capital One is the largest issuer of debit cards in the 17 United States. 18 They may be, but they also issue credit THE COURT: 19 cards. 20 DEFENDANT BANKS: They issue credit cards and debit 21 cards. This is a prepaid --22 THE COURT: We don't know that unless we see --23 you're saying --24 DEFENDANT BANKS: I believe it is, but I'm saying if

there's not -- there is no way to tell by that number it's a

prepaid card unless we get a representative here from Capital One; but it was a prepaid card just like my American Express Card.com/prepaid was also a prepaid card that they didn't bring up.

MR. CESSAR: Quite frankly, it's irrelevant because this probation officer testified that Mr. Banks should have provided to him information about Gain Capital. He has testified on the record —

THE COURT: It's a question of whether he has violated the one condition that has to do with whether or not he was opening credit or lines of credit; and a debit card is not a line of credit and not a credit card. That is really a question about —

MR. CESSAR: We'll be back at 2:15 with the back of the card.

DEFENDANT BANKS: And all these cards are outside the scope because they didn't put it in any of the petitions. This card business he brought in later. He never served me with any of this until now, and he brought it in and it's not in the supplemental petition, Your Honor, it's not in the petition.

THE COURT: It's a separate matter.

DEFENDANT BANKS: It's a waste of time because it is a debit card — a prepaid card. I'm not convinced — I'm saying from memory I believe that on the back it's going to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

say that, but I can't be one hundred percent certain; but I can tell you that it's not a credit card. MR. CESSAR: I'll do whatever --THE COURT: It was not identified in the --MR. CESSAR: Correct. THE COURT: -- in the petition. MR. CESSAR: Correct. THE COURT: Or the supplemental petition. MR. CESSAR: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. The core of this case is MR. CESSAR: misrepresentations and the identity theft of Mr. Corcoran, Freddie Banks, for this man to open up those accounts. THE COURT: It's the Class B violation. MR. CESSAR: The Class B violation. That's really why we're here. Quite frankly, you could decide that now if you wanted to, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So we'll -- you're withdrawing at this stage the violation with respect to the credit card? THE WITNESS: Based off of everything today, I believe we could. THE COURT: Okay. MR. CESSAR: Fine. THE COURT: So then all we have is the -- is there going to be any other evidence or submissions with respect to

1 whether there is a violation of the condition that you should 2 not commit another federal, state or local crime? 3 MR. CESSAR: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: 4 Okay. 5 DEFENDANT BANKS: Could you issue some kind of 6 order, just putting that in writing, that they withdrew this, 7 that section? 8 It's so ordered on the record. THE COURT: 9 DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay. 10 THE COURT: Okay? So at this stage, Mr. Banks, do 11 you have anything that you wish to -- any evidence or -- that 12 you wish to bring to the Court's attention with respect to the 13 alleged violation of the condition that you shall not commit 14 another federal, state or local crime? 15 DEFENDANT BANKS: Can I speak on the record? 16 THE COURT: What? 17 DEFENDANT BANKS: Can I speak on the record? 18 THE COURT: As an attorney? 19 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes. 20 THE COURT: As representing yourself. 21 DEFENDANT BANKS: I can't testify --22 THE COURT: You can testify if you choose to do so, 23 but I would urge to you consult with your standby counsel first because there may be forthcoming actual criminal charges 24 related to these matters. I don't know about that other than 25

what have been referred to as things were coming from subpoenas to a Grand Jury.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Well, since they withdraw all

that, I have my — I have my American Express and my green — I have some stuff showing these were prepaid cards. I don't have anything that shows Capital One is a prepaid card.

THE COURT: They've withdrawn that so we don't need to worry about that.

DEFENDANT BANKS: I really don't have anything further.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're going to rest, too?

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So at this stage what I would like from the Government, perhaps we could come back today at 2:15 and I'll hear the closing arguments. How does that sound?

DEFENDANT BANKS: All right.

THE COURT: Okay? So we'll be in — we'll be adjourning and be returning at 2:15 for some closing arguments. I would like at that stage, if you wouldn't mind, to be clear as to what the elements are of the crimes that the Defendant is alleged to have violated, and what is the evidence that's going to address each of those particular elements. There are several crimes, as to how that would play out. I think that's important.

1 So, Mr. Banks, you should be aware of that as well, 2 so looking at what the elements of these offenses are. And I 3 believe what the Government is alleging -- let's just be clear 4 on this -- that the first petition identifies the wire fraud 5 and aggravated identity theft as the two offenses. 6 MR. CESSAR: Correct, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: And then the supplemental one, that only 8 relates to the Gain Capital accounts, okay? So it's really 9 just the initial petition that we're addressing at this stage. 10 DEFENDANT BANKS: Can we leave our stuff here? 11 THE COURT: What? 12 DEFENDANT BANKS: Can we leave our items here? 13 DEPUTY MARSHAL: I was actually going to ask that --14 THE COURT: I don't have another proceeding in here, 15 so if you would like to --16 MR. CESSAR: I killed a lot of trees to print that 17 stuff out. Can I have that back, the stuff -- all the 18 pleadings that you filed? 19 THE COURT: He needs to make a copy of that. 20 MR. CESSAR: I don't know if I'll be able to get 21 done by then. 22 DEFENDANT BANKS: Can I use it for closing argument? 23 MR. CESSAR: Can I leave that here, all public 24 pleadings, but I'll remove everything else. 25 THE COURT: Okay, that's fine.

1 DEFENDANT BANKS: So I'll leave --

THE COURT: You can leave your things.

(Whereupon, the luncheon recess was taken.)

(In open court.)

THE COURT: Before we go into the argument, I want to circle back on a couple of the objections that have been made by Mr. Banks.

The first was the question of the admissibility of hearsay. And as the Court had noted, hearsay is admissible; and I just need to go through what the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit says about that and what the standard is that the Court utilizes.

In <u>United States versus Lloyd</u>, 566 F.3d 341,
Third Circuit, 2009, the Court held on Page 344: We now hold that a District Court should apply a balancing test in revocation hearings when considering the releasee's asserted right to cross examine adverse witnesses. And that the Court is to balance the person's interest in the constitutionally guaranteed right to confrontation against the Government's good cause for denying it. The reliability of proffered hearsay is a principal factor although not the sole factor relevant to the releasee's interest in confrontation. To outweigh this interest the Government must in the typical case provide good cause for a hearsay declarant's absence.

As the language of the advisory committee indicates,

the releasee's interest in the confrontation which encompasses reliability is an independent factor that should be analyzed separately from cause. In some cases the releasee's interest in confrontation may be overwhelmed by the hearsay's reliability such that the Government need not show cause for a declarant's absence. Accordingly, we reject a per se rule that a District Court's failure to explicitly address cause amounts to reversible error in all cases.

Nevertheless, a releasee may have a legitimate interest in confrontation and cross examination even when the declarant's out-of-court statement bears some indicia of reliability; and district courts should normally address both factors when relying — when ruling on the admissibility of hearsay evidence in a revocation hearing.

So at this stage I think in order to make a record here I think it would be appropriate in terms of the Court identifying the particular hearsay evidence that came in and I need to make a finding about reliability and about cause.

So we'll turn to the Government in terms of the evidence that you adduced that was hearsay. There's at least two of those. One was the conversation with the individual at Gain Capital, and the second was at a minimum Mr. Corcoran, whose testimony was at issue. And I think there was some business records that were received, and those business records would be an exception to the hearsay rule.

However, there was certain evidence from third parties — let me get the name — the name of the company correct — was it Gains Capital?

MR. CESSAR: Gain Capital.

THE COURT: Gain Capital. There were statements being made to the Special Agent from people at Gain Capital, so those would be hearsay. Even though the underlying documents may come in, those would be hearsay.

MR. CESSAR: I would say that the underlying documents come in as business records, Your Honor, kept during the regular course of business. As to Government Exhibit 79, which is the tape, the indicia of reliability is Mr. Banks's own voice on the tape talking to a representative of Gain Capital. And as stated by the agent, that's a copy maintained — recorded by Gain Capital for their use and they provided us pursuant to a Grand Jury subpoena. So I think again the indicia of reliability is very good on that.

As to the statements made by Gain Capital, they're corroborated by the documents. The agent was merely putting them into context for what the documents were.

As to account 0906, remember there was the issue on the one exhibit about was that First Niagra. The agent I think explained that in the context of the records. You know, we hewed very closely to the records. This closing argument is refer to the record, refer to the record, refer to that

1 record. So maybe that addresses your issues? 2 THE COURT: Okay. And how about Mr. Cameron? 3 MR. CESSAR: We just tracked him down. 4 AGENT LANGFORD: Corcoran. 5 MR. CESSAR: Excuse me one second, Your Honor. 6 (Off the record discussion.) 7 MR. CESSAR: He works third shift, Your Honor. 8 weren't able to get him today. 9 THE COURT: What does third shift mean? 10 MR. CESSAR: He works at night and he's asleep now. So -- if we look at the facts that we have in this case --11 12 THE COURT: How about the people from Gain Capital? 13 Why weren't they able to come? 14 MR. CESSAR: They're in New Jersey. We didn't think 15 we needed to call them because we were relying upon the 16 records. By and large we relied upon the records and what the 17 agent adduced from the records both from Gain Capital and from 18 the Probation Office records and any other records obtained 19 pursuant to third party subpoena. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 Do you wish to be heard on that? 22 DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes, I do, Your Honor. I think 23 it's ridiculous. I can appreciate you're relying on the 24 records; however, I'm in prison. I'm trying to get out of 25 So I can understand the prosecutor has his steak

dinners; he can eat steak every night. I can't do that. They have to have these people here to introduce this stuff. They have my — you're saying it's my voice going across this courtroom out into the public here, and they don't have a representative of this, quote, unquote, Michael to even put this stuff in. It's ridiculous.

And the same case you cited, I have it right here on the paper, <u>US versus Illoyd</u>. And there's about three other cases that they cite, right here. It's — it's — let's see here. It's <u>USA versus Mitts</u>, 2013 case, US Appeal Exit 1006, Third District, 2013, right out of this district. They cannot do that. I have a right to confrontation, to have somebody here. This is what I said before, to introduce this stuff and to speak on it so I can cross examine them and challenge the validity of their statements.

THE COURT: I'm going to find that the documents from Gain Capital have indicia of reliability. They were submitted pursuant to — we have the testimony of the Special Agent that there were subpoenas directed to Gain Capital. These were the documents produced from Gain Capital, and they are business records, so that they do show indicia of reliability in terms of how they were obtained and the nature of the documents themselves.

With respect to the recording itself, I am familiar with Mr. Banks's voice. I presided over his trial. He's --

now this is the second day for this hearing; I'm familiar with his voice and I can tell from the tape that that is his voice on the tape.

Now, the -- with respect to Mr. Cameron, I think that's a little different situation. Someone -- the Court has said that this is from <u>Lloyd</u> at Page 345. If something is replete with detail, which we have with respect to the records and the tape, there's corroborating evidence, those are things that can be recognized as reliable. And now out-of-court statements reflecting an adversarial relationship with the accused or containing multiple layers of hearsay have been recognized as unreliable; and here you have Mr. Cameron who did have an adversarial relationship with the Defendant years and years ago.

And we're — I think he should be here because these are not sworn; that's another thing that courts take into account when they look at these matters, if they are statements that were given under oath or there was something else like that.

Do you want me to leave the record open?

MR. CESSAR: No, we'll proceed.

THE COURT: Okay. Without the aggravated identity theft.

MR. CESSAR: No, no, no. Because we have aggravated identity theft in regards to Mr. Corcoran, because we have an

1 application from Mr. Corcoran, we have his driver's license 2 found in Mr. Banks's possession, and we have some of the 3 information on the Corcoran application relating back to 4 Mr. Banks. It has nothing to do with Mr. Corcoran and I would 5 arque --6 THE COURT: It has to be a real person. You have to 7 show it was a real person, is that -- and you're saying that 8 the evidence on the driver's license is sufficient to show 9 that? 10 MR. CESSAR: I would say the agent could testify he 11 met a real person, Adam Corcoran; he can testify to that. He 12 doesn't need to go into what Corcoran said. 13 THE COURT: We won't give any weight to 14 Mr. Corcoran's out-of-court statements. 15 MR. CESSAR: And in addition, there was aggravated 16 identity theft in regards to Mr. Banks's deceased mother 17 because he used her Social Security number to open up the 18 Freddie Banks account. 19 THE COURT: Can you steal the identity of someone 20 who is deceased? 21 MR. CESSAR: Oh, yes. I believe so, yes; yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So then we'll exclude those 23 out-of-court; I won't give any weight to those. 24 Now, with respect to the cause that the Government is asserting for not calling any of the out-of-court 25

1 declarants from -- who were employees of Gain Capital, that 2 has to do with their being in New Jersey and the inconvenience 3 of bringing them. Am I correct in that? 4 MR. CESSAR: Correct, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: And the cost and expense attendant to 6 that as well, and the Court did say that there are certain 7 circumstances where cause doesn't need to be shown, as it's 8 not a per se requirement when the indicia of reliability is 9 overwhelming; and I'm going to find that the indicia of 10 reliability with respect to the Gain Capital is 11 overwhelming --12 MR. CESSAR: May I also inquire --13 THE COURT: -- given the level of detail, the fact 14 that these documents were produced in response to a subpoena, 15 and that the -- the tape which has Mr. Banks's voice on it. 16 MR. CESSAR: Just so we're clear, I'm also going to 17 rely upon the statements filed with the Probation Office, Exhibits 10 --18 19 THE COURT: Yes, I don't think those are hearsay in 20 a sense because they're Mr. Banks's own statements. 21 MR. CESSAR: And also there are the records from 22 Eureka Bank. 23 THE COURT: And records maintained --24 MR. CESSAR: And records from Eureka Bank and 25 Niagra Bank that the agent testified about that were

1 introduced into evidence. 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MR. CESSAR: I would argue those should be submitted 4 for the same reason as the Gain Capital record. 5 THE COURT: The only one I have a little problem 6 with there is the Eureka one because it doesn't reference the 7 number of the account on the face and we have to rely on the 8 out-of-court statements made by the employees of Eureka about 9 that. 10 MR. CESSAR: I can see your point, but I think that document does indicate that Eureka Bank has no accounts 11 12 related to Mr. Banks. 13 THE COURT: I understand. 14 MR. CESSAR: Oh, okay. Maybe I'm missing something. 15 I'm kind of dense today, but --16 THE COURT: Because the accounts that he was 17 referring to -- I'm trying to think where the letter is --18 what exhibit is that? 19 MR. CESSAR: That's Exhibit 18. 20 THE COURT: What is the one that it's relating to? 21 There was the transfers --22 MR. CESSAR: It related to Exhibit 7 and in 23 particular it related to the last page --24 THE COURT: This is Mr. Cochran. 25 MR. CESSAR: Correct.

1 THE COURT: And this is the issue of whether this is 2 really Mr. Banks; so if the question was whether this --3 that's why I thought it was important to know what number the 4 account on the -- that was --5 MR. CESSAR: I think the agent testified that he 6 subpoenaed the bank account, the account in question, and 7 Mr. Pepper from Eureka Bank said his account in question, 8 which was the basis of the subpoena, was closed in 2004. 9 Do you have that exhibit handy, Your Honor? I can find it for you. It derives from Exhibit 7, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: That's the Eureka; that's the one for 12 Hexagon, LLC. 13 MR. CESSAR: Correct. We subpoenaed that account 14 and they refer back that that -- specifically addressed that 15 it was closed in --16 THE COURT: Account XXXX-X3252, right? 17 the -- what came back -- what exhibit is that? 18 MR. CESSAR: Eighteen, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: The letter that came back just says as 20 per the attached subpoena request, Eureka Bank has no accounts 21 related to Mr. Banks. It doesn't specifically mention the 22 account number or Hexagon, LLC. See, that's the problem. You 23 have to rely on Eureka Bank's officer. 24 MR. CESSAR: Okay.

THE COURT:

So supply that.

25

1 MR. CESSAR: All right. I would be relying upon 2 that. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 DEFENDANT BANKS: I'd -- I want to mention something 5 about the recording. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 DEFENDANT BANKS: We might have to play it again, 8 but the recording doesn't tie -- it doesn't matter if it's my voice or not. It doesn't say anything about any account going 9 10 to First Niagra. It doesn't say anything about any account 11 that I'm opening there. It doesn't say any of that. I 12 listened to it very carefully. This is the second time I 13 heard it, and it doesn't tie Fred Banks, even if that is his 14 voice, to anything. It's a representative from Michael at 15 Gain Capital or Forex talking to a gentleman, and it doesn't 16 say anything tying that gentleman to any of this at all on 17 that tape. 18 MR. CESSAR: We'll play the tape, Your Honor. 19 mean the tape is the best evidence. But --20 DEFENDANT BANKS: It doesn't say he has an account, 21 it doesn't say anything. The guy is asking him what you want 22 to trade and he's saying I may trade -- whatever he said. 23 THE COURT: He has to verify the amounts in the 24 account; and if you can submit the bank statements or

25

something like that --

1 DEFENDANT BANKS: It doesn't say what bank, it doesn't say anything of that. And then the statement he's 2 saying that was submitted doesn't have Fred Banks on it. 3 bank statement, it doesn't have Fred Banks on it. It's 4 Exhibit -- right here -- it's blank. Where is it at --5 6 MR. CESSAR: The person --7 DEFENDANT BANKS: What exhibit is that? 8 MR. CESSAR: The person identifies himself as Freddie Banks. The account is with Freddie Banks at 9 10 Gain Capital. 11 THE COURT: It's not the corporate account. 12 MR. CESSAR: No, it's Freddie Banks's account. 13 DEFENDANT BANKS: And on the exhibit -- have it 14 right here; I don't know what number it is, the one with the 15 First Niagra Bank statement. Nothing on it says Freddie or 16 Frederick; there is no name on the statement. 17 THE COURT: It has account number 0906. 18 DEFENDANT BANKS: Right. But the account number is 19 not mentioned on the tape. Nothing is tying that tape to 20 this. 21 MR. CESSAR: I think if you listen --22 THE COURT: It's by a preponderance of the evidence, 23 and that's really the ---24 DEFENDANT BANKS: There's no date on this thing, when it was submitted, on this document; there's no name on 25

1 this document. And as a matter of fact, that recording, there 2 is nothing on there that says when the recording was made. 3 MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, if I can answer his 4 question. 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 MR. CESSAR: Specifically, the representative stated 7 that the bank statement -- the First Niagra statement was 8 needed in order to free up the account and approve the 9 numerous ACH deposits and withdrawals. Freddie Banks -- and 10 you hear this on the tape -- addressed the Gain Capital 11 representative, stating, quote: I just got an online 12 statement and copied it and pasted it right to you. And 13 that's the statement. That's what's in the --14 DEFENDANT BANKS: The problem is we don't have 15 Michael here to verify that. He's not here to verify 16 anything. What Michael --17 THE COURT: He's saying what Michael said. We don't 18 have him here. 19 MR. CESSAR: No we have the tape. 20 DEFENDANT BANKS: It doesn't tie to that. We need 21 Michael. 22 (Tape replayed in open court.) 23 MR. BANKS: I have a problem with that, Your Honor. 24 Nowhere on that tape does it say Banks. It doesn't say the 25 last name, and it doesn't say First Niagra, and it doesn't say

23

24

25

how many million. It doesn't say that. It doesn't say anything. We need Michael here.

THE COURT: Mr. Cessar?

MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, I think it is admissible because the record did show up, we got it pursuant to a

The subpoena was for the tape as well? Yes. For the tape, everything we got. And that reflects the First Niagra account which we've established is owned by Mr. Banks, the 0906 account,

DEFENDANT BANKS: I just can't agree. It doesn't even say the last name. It -- nowhere on it does it state First Niagra. Freddie is what it says; I just listened to it. There's not even a bank on that.

That's your voice on it, Mr. Banks. it says Freddie and we know you're Mr. Banks. So -- I don't

When I look at the totality of the evidence with respect to the Gain Capital situation, that there were subpoenas issued for these records, for this document, we have Mr. Banks's voice on the tape saying that he sent an online statement, that the online statement that was produced is as a result of the subpoena, is the First Niagra statement. does tie back to a bank account that Mr. Banks himself has

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

acknowledged is a bank account in his name. That's sufficient for the Court in terms of establishing reliability. And I think that's overwhelming. So under that basis we will proceed.

MR. CESSAR: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But I also have to first — I want to go back and I want to eliminate anything that the Court's required to do under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1.

The Court notes that the Court has to hold a revocation hearing within a reasonable time, and that the person is entitled to -- these are the following rights: A written notice of the alleged violation which we have here, which is the initial petition; two, a disclosure of the evidence against the person, which we have had here in court today and also it was reflected in the description of the violation; and Part C is an opportunity to appear. Mr. Banks is here to present evidence, he's had an opportunity to do that; and question any adverse witness unless the Court determines that the interest of justice does not require the witness to appear, and that's the finding that the Court made with respect to any representatives from Gain Capital. I didn't find the same with respect to Mr. Cameron or the Eureka -- the officer from Eureka who was identifying the specific account in question.

And the next item is the notice of -- the next right

is the notice of the person's right to retain counsel or to request that counsel be appointed if the person cannot obtain counsel; and the Court went through that today with — with Mr. Banks in the colloquy. And the last one is an opportunity to make a statement and present any information in mitigation.

So, Mr. Banks, I'm going to also permit you an opportunity to make a statement and present any information in mitigation.

DEFENDANT BANKS: On that particular point you just talked about?

THE COURT: With respect to the revocation.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Okay, because I have an objection on that because on the advance written notice prong I received, you know, I spoke with you before through the pleadings about process on the arrest warrant. I was never served a copy of the arrest warrant. I never received any kind of notice, the petitions, none of that. It's supposed to be — under Morrisey versus Brewer, advance written notice, and I didn't receive any of that.

THE COURT: You did not receive the petition for revocation?

DEFENDANT BANKS: I received nothing until the hearing — it's at every stage of the proceeding. I went to the Magistrate Judge; I didn't have it until I got into the courtroom. It has to be, I think, at least 48 hours in

advance. I never received anything in the arrest warrants; they were never even served. That's process. Here's a link. The process of the arrest warrant gives you the authority —

THE COURT: The arrest warrant doesn't have to be served. The warrant authorizes the officer to arrest you and bring you in. And when that happens, then you're entitled to a prompt hearing before a Magistrate Judge. It says that you have to have an initial appearance, and I believe you did have your initial appearance; is that correct?

DEFENDANT BANKS: Under American jurisprudence process, that arrest warrant is process. It has to be served on me. It was never served. I still don't have a copy of it.

THE COURT: What's your authority for that?

DEFENDANT BANKS: American jurisprudence under the -- there's a book process that talks all about it. That's what gives you the authority to act. Just like a summons, an arrest warrant is sent out. They bring it to me and they can give me a copy of it and that's service.

THE COURT: That's not my understanding of the law.

MR. CESSAR: This is a supervised release violation, Your Honor. There's no separate arrest warrant. I believe that the Court signs the petition for the supervised release and orders the writ.

THE COURT: And I authorize the issuance of a warrant.

MR. CESSAR: Yes.

THE COURT: I believe that's what happened here. There is a petition for warrant.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Right. And the warrant is what kept me in jail because there was no bond set on the warrants. They were never even served on me, and I never received a copy of the petition, which is sort of like the affidavits that's attached to the warrant. I never got that until I was well into that hearing at the Magistrate. Never received it. That's not advance written notice under Morrisey versus Brewer. It's not just notice, it's advance notice.

MR. CESSAR: How can you give somebody advance notice when you're going to arrest them?

DEFENDANT BANKS: How can you --

MR. CESSAR: May I finish? I've been accommodating to you, I've respected you — he had a prompt initial appearance at — before a Magistrate provided to him, then we had the preliminary examination. This was all laid out and that was several weeks ago and now we're here.

THE COURT: And there was a finding of probable cause at that time.

MR. CESSAR: Correct, correct.

THE COURT: Okay. And the hearing today is not a probable cause hearing. The hearing today is to determine whether there has been evidence presented by the Government to

prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant committed the crimes of wire fraud and/or aggravated identity theft.

MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, I've just been handed the warrant for the arrest that was served by a Deputy US Marshal. So --

DEFENDANT BANKS: I never got it. I never got any of the petitions until the hearing we were at, Your Honor, the hearing that — when you ordered competency, that's the first time I ever saw the motion. Under Morrisey versus Brewer that's not advance written notice. I had — I had — I had — I was supposed to receive that, those petitions at every stage of the proceeding, not when I get into the courtroom.

They knew I was at the jail, they knew where I was arrested. They could have sent them. They could have sent it up through Mr. Nightingale. Again, they could have mailed it to me, fax'd it up there. I never got it until I was well into the hearing, and I didn't get it until I was in the second hearing with you, never got it.

MR. CESSAR: I can clear this up by calling the US probation officer who will testify he was provided those documents at the initial appearance.

MR. BANKS: Even — even if you call him up here to say that, that doesn't even matter. I was supposed to get them in advance.

Ι

1 THE COURT: Where is the requirement for advance? 2 It says you have to have written notice. This would be --3 DEFENDANT BANKS: I'll find it. Let me give you one second of that; I believe I have it right here. And it's 4 5 in -- I believe it's --6 THE COURT: It's like an indictment, written notice 7 of the alleged violations, sort of akin to an indictment. As 8 long as you get notice of that and time for the hearing --9 DEFENDANT BANKS: It's a little bit different. 10 Morrissey versus Brewer is actual notice, and actual notice 11 doesn't happen when you're halfway into a hearing. That 12 doesn't happen ---13 THE COURT: I think you had this. I note prior to 14 the start of this hearing --15 MR. CESSAR: At his initial appearance, Your Honor. 16 And that's the first step in the process. When somebody is 17 indicted, one of the questions the Magistrate always ask is do 18 you have a copy -- at the initial, do you have a copy of the 19 indictment? Do you want me to read it to you? So that's the 20 starting event. 21 DEFENDANT BANKS: This is straight out of -- it's 22 actually one of my motions, too, that I put into the court. 23 talked about -- all about it. 24 THE COURT: Morrissey versus Brewer, Page 486 and

487 of 40 -- I think it's 408 US 1972. The Court says with

25

respect to the preliminary hearing before this officer -- I think they're referring to the --

MR. CESSAR: -- Magistrate Judge.

THE COURT: — the Magistrate Judge's hearing, that parolees should be given notice that the hearing will take place and that its purpose is to determine whether there's probable cause to believe he has committed a parole violation. The notice should state what parole violations have been alleged at the hearing. The parolee may appear and speak on his own behalf, bring letters, et cetera, et cetera.

And that at the revocation hearing it says there must also be an opportunity for a hearing if it is desired by the parolee prior to the final decision on revocation by the parole authority. This hearing must be the basis for more than determining probable cause. It must lead to a final evaluation of any contested relevant facts and consideration of whether the facts as determined warrant revocation. The parolee must have an opportunity to be heard and to show if he can that he did not violate the conditions or if he did what circumstances in mitigation suggest that the violation does not warrant revocation.

DEFENDANT BANKS: It's contained in -- it's American Jurisprudence under parole and pardon. And parole is the section that talks about it. And --

THE COURT: Who was present -- was the probation

B. Orrison - Direct officer present at the hearing, the preliminary hearing? 1 2 MR. CESSAR: May I -- do you want to address him 3 directly, Your Honor, or through me on the stand --4 THE COURT: He has to come on the stand so he can be 5 cross-examined. 6 MR. CESSAR: The Government calls probation officer 7 Ben Orrison. 8 THE COURT: He has already been put under oath. 9 I remind you, you remain under oath. 10 11 BEN ORRISON, a witness herein, having been 12 previously duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. CESSAR: 15 Sir, as you previously stated, you are the probation officer supervising Mr. Frederick Banks, correct? 16 17 Α That's correct. 18 And you authored the two petitions seeking to revoke 19 his supervised release? 20 Α That's correct. 21 0 And were you present when Mr. Banks was arrested? 22 Α I was. 23 Q And were you present at his initial appearance? 24 Α I was.

And at that time did he have copies of the petitions

25

1 that you prepared and filed? 2 They were not the official signed ones by the judge 3 because they were still under seal, but they were -- he was provided with a copy of the petition of supervised release. 4 5 And do those petitions set out all of the facts and 6 allegations underlying the revocation? 7 Α They do. 8 MR. CESSAR: No further questions, Your Honor. 9 DEFENDANT BANKS: That's in the -- my argument is I 10 didn't get it in advance. There's authority for that and it's 11 contained in there, but I can't find it right now. 12 MR. CESSAR: May I make a point, Your Honor? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. CESSAR: He's on supervised release, not parole. 15 So -- maybe too fine of a technical point, but the -- just to 16 bring that up for your consideration. I'm not sure Morrissey 17 would apply in the supervised release setting versus the 18 parole setting, whether the two are analogous, but --19 THE COURT: I haven't had a chance; this is coming 20 up for the first time right now, so I --21 MR. CESSAR: I agree. I haven't had an opportunity to do any 22 THE COURT: 23 thorough analysis of this. 24 MR. CESSAR: I'm impressed your clerk was able to 25 get that so quickly.

1 THE COURT: Yes. Given the time today, I --2 unfortunately, I thought we would be finished with this, but we've had other issues. Mr. Banks, do you have any other 3 4 issues that you need the Court to look at? Because we're going to have to continue this. I just want to make sure 5 6 everyone is prepared. 7 DEFENDANT BANKS: None that I can think of right 8 now. I mean just --9 THE COURT: So your argument is that you should have had advance notice. 10 DEFENDANT BANKS: Advance written notice of the 11 12 hearing -- of the hearing and the petition. I didn't get the 13 arrest warrant, the petition. I think the arrest warrant is 14 process. 15 THE COURT: What? DEFENDANT BANKS: The arrest warrant, I think it's 16 17 process. THE COURT: The arrest warrant. 18 DEFENDANT BANKS: It had to be served on me; it was 19 never served. 20 THE COURT: That's one issue, whether the arrest 21 warrant needs to be served. 22 23 DEFENDANT BANKS: It was never returned to the 24 docket. There is a return thing on the arrest warrant. 25 They're supposed to return that to the docket. That was not

returned and I never received advance written notice of the petition. I got — I got the petitions, I agree with him, what he's saying, but I'm saying I was supposed to have advance written notice of the petitions.

THE COURT: But I asked you earlier today if you were aware of the — what the charged violations were and we went over those and you said you were aware of those.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yes, I am aware. What I'm saying is I didn't have -- I didn't -- I wasn't aware of them before I got in the courtroom. And under the law you're supposed to have --

THE COURT: You were aware of them when you were at your -- at the initial appearance, though.

DEFENDANT BANKS: When we spoke on them, yeah, that's the first time I became aware of them. But not before; it's advance written notice, it's not when you get there you — here you are. I'm proceeding pro se. I'm representing myself. I had a right to have that in advance.

THE COURT: This would vitiate the whole process and procedure that we have in the Federal Courts when people are arrested and brought in for their initial appearance. That's when they receive notice of what the charges are and you have a Magistrate Judge to review those with you. So if you have any authority for your position that it has to be in advance and you actually have to be served with the arrest warrant,

I'll give you an opportunity to present that and the Government can respond if there's — I'm just not — I don't see that requirement. I think you do need to give notice about what the charges are so that you can defend against them. You knew that at the initial appearance.

DEFENDANT BANKS: Yeah.

THE COURT: And then we had the hearing that began in October, then we had to have the time for the competency evaluation, and now we're continuing today. So there's certainly been time —

DEFENDANT BANKS: I'm sorry, Your Honor, sorry to interrupt you.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

DEFENDANT BANKS: I just remember what happened. I didn't get the petition and the supplemental petition until we got to the second hearing, which was — that's when you ordered a competency and we had part of the competency hearing. What happened was I was prevented — I had the exhibits from the Government. Next thing I know they were taken out of my hand. I went to try to get them from — I talked about this on the record. I tried to get them from Mr. Cessar, and I was prevented from getting the — the petition. That's why I never had those exhibits with the petitions.

I was right up here. As soon as you left the

courtroom, I was prevented from getting them. He took them 1 2 from me. 3 MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, if I can respond --4 DEFENDANT BANKS: He took them. 5 MR. CESSAR: If I can respond. 6 DEFENDANT BANKS: And I put it all in these 7 pleadings. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. CESSAR: Okay. I -- I'm an officer of the 10 court, and that's incorrect. And, in fact, I provided a 11 scanned copy to Mr. Nightingale of all the exhibits from that 12 first pleading a day or two after to make sure he had a 13 complete set. So I did not take --14 THE COURT: Mr. Nightingale, did you receive such 15 documents? 16 MR. NIGHTINGALE: Yes, I did, and I mailed them to 17 Mr. Banks. 18 MR. CESSAR: Your Honor, where this is coming from, 19 I don't know. I operated in good faith. I've provided him 20 with ample opportunity of all the exhibits and I'm letting him 21 look at his pleadings. This is coming out of left field. 22 More importantly, we have a witness, the probation officer in 23 this case, that says Mr. Banks was provided with the petition 24 at his initial appearance. That initial appearance was --

four weeks ago. He's had plenty of notice of what's going on

25

1 here today. He's had the exhibits. He's had everything and 2 I -- these tactics are getting to the point --3 THE COURT: I understand. But on the other hand, he is entitled to make a record. I have to rule on these issues. 4 5 It's sometimes a process that we need to get through. 6 MR. CESSAR: And believe me, I agree with you a 7 hundred percent. You do it right, as you're doing it right 8 now, and we will all get to the place where we need to be. So 9 I'm not in any way suggesting that we need to cut any corners. 10 THE COURT: Okay. I just don't want to rule on 11 things on the fly and then --12 MR. CESSAR: I agree. 13 THE COURT: Sometimes you just have to make sure you 14 have the right standards and then see how they apply here. 15 MR. CESSAR: Hundred percent agreement, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 DEFENDANT BANKS: Your Honor, I agree with what 18 you're saying. I'm not trying to waste the Court's time. Ι would just -- I don't know if you're getting a chance to read 19 everything I'm submitting. I would like you to read my 20 21 pleadings because clearly in these pleadings I stated that I had -- I had the exhibits. This is not the ones he mailed. 22 23 What happened was this: This is at the hearing we had where 24 you ordered a competency --

THE COURT: Yes.

25

DEFENDANT BANKS: As soon as you turned your back and left this courtroom, he snatched the exhibits. I turned to try to retrieve them and they were held from me. So then I filed in court, to your court, letting you know I don't have the exhibits and that's what happened. As a result, he was providing the exhibits from the US attorney who mailed them to me.

THE COURT: But you did get them.

DEFENDANT BANKS: I -- I was given them at your hearing and then they -- as soon as you left the courtroom.

THE COURT: I mean at this stage we need to -- I have to adjourn this hearing today. I would like to reconvene next Monday afternoon at two o'clock. I don't think this should take much longer. I think the issues are going to be what kind of notice was required. I want to direct a copy -- I would like to receive a copy if we can get it in that short a period of time of the transcript of the arraignment hearing before the Magistrate, and then we'll hear from you; and if there's any further statement you want to make, you can do so and I'll hear the argument about the -- whether or not there's been sufficient evidence adduced.

DEFENDANT BANKS: I'd like to move for bail because we discussed last time I have -- I have a housing hearing tomorrow, and Mr. Nightingale stated that he contacted the judge in that -- in that proceeding and also the counsel, but

he has no idea what happened, if it was continued or not. I'm supposed to be in front of a state court tomorrow and remember we — we spoke to you — I spoke to you last time and you said, well, when is the date on that? I said the 21st. You said, well, this hearing is on the 20th.

So I would like to move for bail. I don't even know why I was prevented from getting bail because, as you know, I've always showed up, I'm not a flight risk, and I'm not a danger. I've also showed up for every hearing I ever had. Every time Ben called me into the Probation Office I've always appeared. I never ran from anything. I don't even know why no — no bond was set on the arrest warrant that I never received. I was supposed to have an opportunity to have a bond hearing at the Magistrate level. I never got that. I'm sitting up here in jail in Youngstown when I got housing issues —

THE COURT: I think the risk is that you were engaged in these transactions and there was a concern that you would continue to do so. And that's the reason. I was the one that signed the warrant with no bond because of the serious nature of these allegations.

DEFENDANT BANKS: A danger -- we're not talking about a drug case or somebody has a gun or somebody is going to kill. This is a white collar case. I'm obviously not a flight risk. I was in Europe under indictment and I came all

the way back. The FBI told me I could go. I came back. That was in the Hardiman era; I never missed a court appearance, so that's flight risk.

Danger, I'm not dangerous. You have procedures you can put in place to say if you do this, we're going to violate you. House arrest, something. I need to get back out there. I never had an opportunity to have a hearing. I never even knew there was no bond set. I still to this day — in this US District Court I still don't have those arrest warrants. That's not process. And that's where it says no bond set. That's another reason I had to have it, because I had to have an opportunity to know there's not going to be a bail hearing on this, because it's in the arrest warrant. That's one reason I needed to get served with them. I still don't have them to this day.

THE COURT: What I'm going to do is check to see who the Magistrate is on duty and we'll send you down for the bail hearing today, okay?

MR. CESSAR: The issue, though, however, I believe is Judge Fischer -- is there a bond in Judge Fischer's?

PO ORRISON: I believe no bond was set in Judge Fischer's.

MR. CESSAR: We'll have to reprise this all before Judge Fischer -- I'm just laying that out.

THE COURT: Well, there is a bond hearing and we can

see if -- we'll check with Judge Fischer, if she wants to 1 2 refer him back for a bail hearing before the Magistrate Judge 3 today as well. 4 DEFENDANT BANKS: What I'm saying is it's a 5 Catch-22. It doesn't become moot because Judge Fischer stayed 6 her case pending the outcome of your decision. So I think --7 THE COURT: She still has a separate warrant out 8 there --9 DEFENDANT BANKS: Right. 10 THE COURT: -- that has to be dealt with. 11 DEFENDANT BANKS: Right, but I'm saying both issues 12 have to be dealt with --13 THE COURT: I am suggesting that we see if she wants 14 to refer this matter for a bail hearing down to the Magistrate 15 Judge. Okay? 16 DEFENDANT BANKS: There has to be two bail 17 hearings --18 THE COURT: No, together, that's what I said. was my suggestion, that we refer down to the Magistrate Judge 19 20 for a bail hearing. 21 That's why I raised it with you, so we MR. CESSAR: 22 can --23 THE COURT: -- move it on. Okay. So we will do 24 So we're going to see if the Magistrate Judge -- we that. have a duty magistrate, should hopefully be able to hear that 25

1 this afternoon, promptly. 2 MR. CESSAR: I'm not sure of the procedure, 3 Your Honor. And -- there will be an order directing the 4 Magistrate Judge to review the bond? Because I don't think 5 they can override what you've already set, just the mechanics. 6 THE COURT: Oh, I am -- that's what I'm going to 7 I'm going to refer it down to the Magistrate Judge to 8 have a de novo hearing on whether or not bail should be set. 9 Okay? And we have -- the probation officer is here, so -- we 10 can go there. 11 Anything further? Okay. So we'll be back here Monday at two and then there will be a separate bail hearing 12 13 as soon as practicable. Okay? 14 DEFENDANT BANKS: Just one more thing, I'm sorry. 15 Exhibit Capital One, the back side, you can't tell from this 16 that it wasn't -- that it was a prepaid card. 17 THE COURT: Well, they've removed that anyway. may be relevant for Judge Fischer's situation, but at least in 18 19 this case that supplemental petition has been withdrawn. 20 DEFENDANT BANKS: All they would have to do is call 21 and they'll say it's a prepaid card. 22 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. 23 DEFENDANT BANKS: Your Honor, are we doing that bail 24 hearing forthwith today?

THE COURT: I hope. So we'll know in just a few

25

minutes, as soon as we can get in touch with the Magistrate, and I need to check with Judge Fischer to see if she is amenable to making a referral as well. Thank you.

(Hearing concluded at 3:20 p.m.)

## CERTIFICATE

I, Shirley Ann Hall, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript for the record of proceedings in the above-titled matter.

s/Shirley Ann Hall Shirley Ann Hall, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter

## INDEX

\* \* \* \* \*

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Robert Wettstein	8	11		
Sean Langford	34	76	86	88
Ben Orrison	90	96	104	105
	135			